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\section{ABSTRACT}

Normal or Brownian diffusion is historically identified by the linear growth in time of the variance and by a Gaussian shape of the displacement distribution. Processes departing from the at least one of the above conditions defines anomalous diffusion, thus a nonlinear growth in time of the variance and/or a non-Gaussian displacement distribution. Motivated by the idea that anomalous diffusion emerges from standard diffusion when it occurs in a complex medium, we discuss a number of anomalous diffusion models for strongly heterogeneous systems. These models are based on Gaussian processes and characterized by a population of scales, population that takes into account the medium heterogeneity. In particular, we discuss diffusion processes whose probability density function solves space- and time-fractional diffusion equations through a proper population of time-scales or a proper population of length-scales. The considered modelling approaches are: the continuous time random walk, the generalized grey Brownian motion, and the time-subordinated process. The results show that the same fractional diffusion follows from different populations when different Gaussian processes are considered. The different populations have the common feature of a large spreading in the scale values, related to power-law decay in the distribution of population itself. This suggests the key role of medium properties, embodied in the population of scales, in the determination of the proper stochastic process underlying the given heterogeneous medium.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Normal diffusion has been widely investigated by means of different modeling approaches, such as: conservation of mass, constitutive laws, random walks based on central limit theorem (CLT), stochastic models, i.e., Wiener process, Langevin equation, Fokker–Planck equation and other Markovian Master equations (van Kampen 1981; Risken 1989; Gardiner 1990). The adjective normal highlights that a Gaussian-based process is considered.

However, many natural phenomena show a diffusive behaviour that cannot be modelled by classical methods based on the CLT or linear and/or local constitutive laws. This is a ubiquitous observation in life sciences, soft condensed matter, geophysics and ecology, among others. These phenomena are generally labeled with the term anomalous diffusion in order to distinguish them from normal diffusion. In this last case, when assumptions of the CLT are satisfied, i.e., independence of random variables and finiteness of variances, the mean square displacement (MSD) of diffusing particles increases linearly in time. Conversely, departures from the CLT determine the emergence of anomalous diffusion. There are numerous experimental measurements in which the MSD scales with a non-linear power-law in time. These processes are successfully modelled through Fractional Calculus (see, e.g., (Sneddon 1979; Samko et al. 1993; Mainardi 2010)), so that the corresponding processes are referred to as Fractional Diffusion (Hilfer and Anton 1995; Mainardi 1996; Klafter et al. 2011; Metzler and Klafter 2000; Mainardi et al. 2001; Mainardi and Pagnini 2003; Mainardi et al. 2005; Mainardi and Pagnini 2007; Gorenflo and Mainardi 2011; Paradisi 2015).


As a consequence, the debate on the understanding of the most suitable microscopic model explaining the observed statistical features of SPT has taken momentum in the scientific community. The emergence of long-range correlations and anomalous diffusion asks for stochastic models departing from the classical Brownian motion based on the Gaussian-Wiener process and the standard random walk (van Kampen 1981; Gardiner 1990). At first, the main debate has been focused on whether the best stochastic approach should be one based on time-continuous trajectories, i.e, fractional brownian motion (FBM), or to discontinuous trajectories characterized by jump events, i.e., continuous time random walk (CTRW) (see, e.g., (Molina-García et al. 2016) for a short discussion). However, both stochastic models, FBM and CTRW, do not describe the observed features of the SPT data. As a consequence, this implies that the above two minimal models (FBM and CTRW) do not take into account some microscopic dynamics affecting the particle...
For this reason, the scientific community is now focusing on the role of the system’s heterogeneity, which was at first neglected in the above mentioned modeling approaches. Superstatistics (Beck, 2001; Beck and Cohen, 2003; Allegrini et al., 2006; Paradisi et al., 2009; Van Der Straeten and Beck, 2009) is probably the first model where heterogeneity is taken into account through a time modulation of a fast relaxing variable by a slow, adiabatic, variable. Many authors follow the main idea of superstatistics, developing stochastic models that try to go beyond superstatistics itself. This is obtained by developing an explicit stochastic dynamics for the adiabatic modulating variables characterizing the superstatistical models (Massignan et al., 2014; Manzo et al., 2015). Along this line, an interesting approach is the recently proposed diffusing diffusivity model (DDM) (Chubynsky and Slater, 2014; Chechkin et al., 2017; Jain and Sebastian, 2017; Lanoiselée and Grebenkov, 2018; Sposini et al., 2018). Approaches similar to superstatistics have also been proposed to model the inter-event times in point processes (Cox, 1962; Bianco et al., 2007; Paradisi et al., 2008; Akin et al., 2009), which describe the intermittent events at the basis of event-driven diffusion processes, e.g., CTRWs where the inter-event time distribution is modulated by an external perturbation (Allegrini et al., 2006; Akin et al., 2006, 2009).

Other authors follow a somewhat different approach based on random-scaled Gaussian processes (RSGPs) (Pagnini and Paradisi, 2016; Molina-García et al., 2016; Vitali et al., 2018; D’Ovidio et al., 2018; Sluisarenko et al., 2019), which are physically based on a recently proposed model where inter-particle heterogeneity is explicitly described through a population of scales characterizing the dynamical parameters of particle diffusive motion. This modelling approach has been denoted as heterogeneous ensemble of Brownian particles (HEBP) and has been developed on the basis of a Langevin model (Vitali et al., 2018; D’Ovidio et al., 2018; Sluisarenko et al., 2019). The HEBP model is then based on the Gaussian-Wiener process and, thus, on trajectories that are strongly continuous in the stochastic sense (Kloeden and Platen, 1992), while anomalous diffusion emerge as a consequence of heterogeneity. Fractional diffusion can be also interpreted as a consequence of complex heterogeneity in the underlying medium, where a classical diffusion takes place for the single particle. According to this approach, fractional diffusion emerges from the population of scales characterizing the medium. Interestingly, for a given stationary Gaussian process, the displacement distribution is uniquely related to the distribution of scales in the considered population. Thus, the observed diffusion properties can be used to guess the properties of the underlying diffusing medium.

All the above mentioned stochastic models where fractional diffusion follows from medium heterogeneity are essentially based on processes with continuous trajectories. Conversely, sudden transition events play a crucial role in the diffusing dynamics in many complex systems. Further, the role of microscopic models with smooth trajectories (Gaussian-based processes) and of event-based models with discontinuous trajectories in biological diffusion is not yet clear.

For this reason, we here propose, discuss and review different models based on different Gaussian processes, whose parameters are characterized by a population of time or length scales. These models include stochastic processes with both time-continuous single particle trajectories and discontinuous trajectories with crucial jump events. We show that proper choices of the populations lead to space- or time-fractional diffusion. In this paper we propose and discuss a further development of the Master thesis by FDT (Di Tullio, 2016).
The paper is organized as follows. In Section 6 we propose and discuss two different Markovian CTRWs with population of time or length scales. In Sections 3 and 4 we discuss RSGPs and subordination processes, respectively. Finally, in Section 5 we give a brief discussion and draw some conclusions.

2 CONTINUOUS TIME RANDOM WALK (CTRW)

2.1 The approach of continuous time random walk to study diffusion processes

2.1.1 Basic formulation of the CTRW

For the purposes of the present paper we briefly report some fundamentals on the CTRW. It is well-known that the CTRW is a successful approach to study diffusion processes. It considers the trajectories of discrete particles within a discrete space, according to the original formulation (Hilfer and Anton, 1995; Klafter et al., 1987; Montroll and Weiss, 1965), or within a continuous underlying space, according to more recent studies (Mainardi et al., 2000; Scalas et al., 2004).

The trajectory of each particle is considered to be governed by the joint probability density function (PDF) \( \varphi(\delta r, \delta t) \) of making a jump of length \( \delta r \) in the time interval \( \delta t \). If the particle is located in \( r_0 \) at time \( t_0 \) and the position \( r \) is the particle position after a inter-event time (IET) \( t \), then: \( r = r_0 + \delta r \), and \( t = t_0 + \delta t \). The times \( t \) and \( t_0 \) are occurrence times of crucial jump events. In the basic theory of CTRW, these events are mutually independent and, thus, the IETs are statistically independent random variables whose features are described in the framework of renewal theory (Cox, 1962; Bianco et al., 2007; Paradisi et al., 2008; Akin et al., 2009). The marginal jump PDF \( \lambda(\delta r) \) and the marginal waiting-time PDF \( \psi(\tau) \) are respectively

\[
\lambda(\delta r) = \int_0^\infty \varphi(\delta r, \tau) \, d\tau, \quad \psi(\tau) = \sum_{\delta r} \varphi(\delta r, \tau). \tag{1}
\]

The integral \( \int_0^\tau \psi(\xi) \, d\xi \) is the probability that at least one step is made \((0, \tau)\) (Mainardi et al., 2000; Scalas et al., 2000). Therefore, the probability that a given waiting time between two consecutive jumps is greater or equal to \( \tau \) is:

\[
\Psi(\tau) = 1 - \int_0^\tau \psi(\xi) \, d\xi = \int_\tau^\infty \psi(\xi) \, d\xi, \tag{2}
\]

and upon differentiation: (Mainardi et al., 2000; Scalas et al., 2000)

\[
\frac{d\Psi}{d\tau} = \frac{d}{d\tau} \left( 1 - \int_0^\tau \psi(\xi) \, d\xi \right) = -\psi(\tau). \tag{3}
\]

Following Klafter et al. (Klafter et al., 1987), the PDF \( \eta(r, t) \) for a particle to arriving in \( r \) in the time interval from \( t \) to \( t + \delta t \) is

\[
\eta(r, t) = \sum_{r'} \int_0^t \eta(r', t') \varphi(r - r', t - t') \, dt' + \delta(t)\delta(r), \tag{4}
\]

where the initial condition is stated at \( t = 0 \) in \( r = 0 \). Hence, the PDF for a particle to be in \( r \) at time \( t \) is

\[
p(r, t) = \int_0^t \eta(r, t - t') \Psi(t') \, dt' = \int_0^t \eta(r, \zeta) \Psi(t - \zeta) \, d\zeta. \tag{5}
\]
Finally, by using (4), the PDF $p(r, t)$ is given by the following integral equation (Klafter et al., 1987)

$$p(r; t) = \delta(r)\Psi(t) + \sum_{r'} \int_0^t \int_0^\tau \eta(r', \tau - t') \varphi(r - r', t - \tau)\Psi(t') \, dt' \, d\tau$$

$$= \delta(r)\Psi(t) + \sum_{r'} \int_0^t \varphi(r - r', t - \tau) \, d\tau . \quad (6)$$

2.1.2 The uncoupled case and the memory effects

The simplest case of the CTRW modelling is the uncoupled case, i.e., the case when the jumps and the waiting times are statistically independent and it holds $\varphi(\delta r, \tau) = \lambda(\delta r)\psi(\tau)$. In this case equation (6) can be re-arranged as (Hilfer and Anton, 1995) for our purposes we rewrite equation (7) in the Fourier–Laplace domain. The standard Laplace and Fourier transforms for sufficiently well-behaved functions are respectively

$$\tilde{g}(s) = \int_0^\infty e^{-st} g(t) \, dt , \quad \hat{f}(k) = \sum_r e^{ikr} f(r) . \quad (8)$$

Then the Laplace transform of formula (6) is

$$\tilde{p}(r, s) = 1 - \frac{\tilde{\psi}(s)}{s} + \tilde{\psi}(s) \sum_{r'} \lambda(r - r')\tilde{p}(r', s) . \quad (9)$$

Now, after Fourier transform, we have that the Fourier–Laplace transform of the solution of (6) is

$$\tilde{p}(k, s) = 1 - \frac{\tilde{\psi}(s)}{s} + \tilde{\psi}(s)\tilde{\lambda}(k)\tilde{p}(k, s) , \quad (10)$$

and then, after re-arrangement, the above equation becomes

$$\tilde{p}(k, s) = \frac{1 - \tilde{\psi}(s)}{s \left[ 1 - \tilde{\lambda}(k)\tilde{\psi}(s) \right]} . \quad (11)$$

According to (Mainardi et al., 2000), formula (11) can be written in the alternative form

$$\tilde{\Phi}(s) \left[ s \tilde{p}(k, s) - 1 \right] = \left[ \tilde{\lambda}(k) - 1 \right]\tilde{p}(k, s) , \quad (12)$$

where

$$\tilde{\Phi}(s) = \frac{1 - \tilde{\psi}(s)}{s \tilde{\psi}(s)} = \frac{\tilde{\Psi}(s)}{\psi(s)} = \frac{\tilde{\Psi}(s)}{1 - s \tilde{\Psi}(s)} . \quad (13)$$
After Fourier–Laplace anti-transforming, relation (12) gives

\[ \int_0^t \Phi(t - \tau) \frac{\partial p}{\partial \tau} d\tau = -p(r, t) + \sum_{r'} \lambda(r - r') p(r', t), \]  

(14)

where it is evident the memory effect due to the auxiliary function \( \Phi(\tau) \).

### 2.1.3 The Markovian CTRW model

A Markovian model is obtained from (14) when \( \Phi(\tau) = \delta(\tau) \). This implies that \( \tilde{\Phi}(s) = 1 \) and, from the second equality in (13), it holds \( \tilde{\Psi}(s) = \psi(s) \) and \( \Psi(\tau) = \psi(\tau) \). The functions \( \Psi(\tau) \) and \( \psi(\tau) \) are related by (3), then a CTRW model is Markovian if

\[ \Psi(\tau) = e^{-\tau}, \]  

(15)

and the resulting Markovian master equation is

\[ \frac{\partial p}{\partial t} = -p(r, t) + \sum_{r'} \lambda(r - r') p(r', t), \quad p(r, 0) = \delta(r). \]  

(16)

On the contrary, when \( \Psi(\tau) \) is not an exponential function the resulting CTRW model is non-Markovian.

### 2.2 Markovian CTRW model with a population of time-scales

Let the functions \( \lambda_n(\delta r) \) and \( \psi_n(\tau) \) be the \( n \)-fold convolutions of the jump and of the waiting-time PDFs, respectively. The most general solution of (6) can be written as (Montroll and Weiss, 1965; Scalas et al., 2004)

\[ p(r, t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(n, t) \lambda_n(r), \]  

(17)

where \( P(n, t) \) is the probability of \( n \) jumps occurring up to time \( t \):

\[ P(n, t) = \int_0^t \psi_n(t - \tau) \Psi(\tau) d\tau. \]  

(18)

In particular, since \( \Psi(\tau) \) is, by definition, the probability that the particle remains fixed \((0, \tau)\), then it holds

\[ \psi_0(\tau) = \delta(\tau) \]  

(Montroll and Weiss, 1965)

\[ P(0, t) = \int_0^t \delta(\tau) \Psi(\tau) d\tau = \Psi(t). \]  

(19)

Let us consider a heterogeneous condition. Hence, for any Markovian trajectory, the waiting-time \( \tau \) is scaled by a proper timescale \( T \). This timescale is taken to be a random variable following a proper distribution. In particular, the survival probability \( \Psi(\tau) \) for each single Markovian trajectory is:

\[ \Psi_M(\tau/T) = e^{-\tau/T}, \]  

(20)

where the index \( M \) has been added to remark that it is the survival probability corresponding to the Markovian case. In this case the random walk goes on according to the standard iteration procedure with
the same meaning for the symbols, but the random waiting time \( \tau \) is driven by the rescaled PDF \( \psi(\tau) \). The characteristic function of the particle PDF turns out to be

\[
\hat{p}(k,t/T_0) = \int_0^\infty \hat{p}_M(k,t/T) f(T/T_0,t) dT/T_0, \tag{21}
\]

where \( p_M(r,t) \) refers to the Markovian PDF, and \( f(T/T_0,t)/T_0 \) is the distribution of the random timescale \( T \) such that \( \int_0^\infty f(T/T_0,t) dT/T_0 = 1 \) and \( T_0 \) is the effective observed timescale. The single timescale case is recovered when \( f(T/T_0,t)/T_0 = (T/T_0) \).

Hence, by Fourier inversion and by using formula (17) for the Markovian PDF \( p_M(r,t) \), it follows

\[
p(r,t/T_0) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ \int_0^\infty P_M(n,t/T_0)f(T/T_0,t) dT/T_0 \right] \lambda_n(r). \tag{22}
\]

To conclude, the combination of (17) and (22) gives

\[
P(n,t/T_0) = \int_0^\infty P_M(n,t/T) f(T/T_0,t) dT/T_0, \tag{23}
\]

and setting \( n = 0 \) it holds the following

\[
P(0,t/T_0) = \int_0^\infty P_M(0,t/T) f(T/T_0,t) dT/T_0
\]

\[
= \int_0^\infty \int_0^t \psi_0(t-\tau) \Psi_M(t/T) d\tau f(T/T_0,t) dT/T_0
\]

\[
= \int_0^\infty \int_0^t \delta_0(t-\tau) \Psi_M(t/T) d\tau f(T/T_0,t) dT/T_0
\]

\[
= \int_0^\infty \Psi_M(t/T) f(T/T_0,t) dT/T_0 = \Psi(t/T_0). \tag{24}
\]

Let hereinafter be \( T_0 = 1 \) for simplicity. In their pioneering work, (Hilfer and Anton, 1995) derived the following fundamental result:

if the survival probability \( \Psi(\tau) \) is a function of the Mittag–Leffler type, i.e.

\[
\Psi(\tau) = E_\beta(-\tau^\beta) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n \tau^{n \beta n}}{\Gamma(\beta n + 1)}, \quad 0 < \beta < 1, \tag{25}
\]

the particle PDF \( p(r,t) \) solves the time-fractional diffusion equation, i.e., equation (A.1) with \( \alpha = 2 \).

Therefore, from (24) and (25) it follows that, for any \( T \)-distribution \( f(T,t) \) such that the following integral holds

\[
\int_0^\infty e^{-t/T} f(T,t) dT = E_\beta(-t^\beta), \quad 0 < \beta < 1, \tag{26}
\]

the resulting process is a time-fractional diffusion process.
In particular, in the stationary case there is a unique the time-scale distribution, i.e., \( f(T, t) = f_S(T) \). In fact, it is well-known that it holds (Mainardi, 2010)

\[
\int_0^{\infty} e^{-ty} K_\beta(y) \, dy = E_\beta(-t^\beta), \quad 0 < \beta < 1,
\]

where

\[
K_\beta(y) = \frac{y^{\beta-1} \sin(\beta \pi)}{\pi (1 + 2y^\beta \cos(\beta \pi) + y^{2\beta})},
\]

and, by comparing of (26) and (27), the stationary timescale distribution \( f_S(T) \) turns out to be (Pagnini, 2014)

\[
f_S(T) = \frac{1}{T^2} K_\beta \left( \frac{1}{T} \right).
\]

It is worth noting that the \( K_\beta \), defined in (28), is the fundamental solution of the space-time fractional diffusion equation (A.1) when space and time fractional orders of derivation are equal each other and equal to \( \beta \) and when the asymmetry parameter assumes the extremal value, in which case the distribution has support solely on the positive real axis (Mainardi et al., 2001). This case is also known as neutral diffusion (Metzler and Nonnenmacher, 2002; Luchko, 2012). In the Markovian limit, i.e., \( \beta = 1 \), it holds \( K_\beta(y) = \sin \pi / [\pi (y - 1)^2] \rightarrow \delta(y - 1) \) and a single timescale follows.

Concerning the waiting time PDF \( \psi(t) \), we observe that, from formula (24) for the survival probability \( \Psi(t) \) and from (3), we have

\[
\psi(t) = -\frac{d\Psi(t)}{dt} = -\frac{d}{dt} \left( \int_0^{\infty} \Psi_M(t/T) f_S(T) dT \right).
\]

By the fact that the involved functions are the exponential function \( \Psi_M \) and the normalized distribution \( f_S(T) \), the following equality holds

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left( \int_0^{\infty} \Psi_M(t/T) f_S(T) dT \right) = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{d}{dt} \Psi_M(t/T) f_S(T) dT.
\]

Finally, we can write the rescaled PDF \( \psi(t) \) as

\[
\psi(t) = -\frac{d\Psi(t)}{dt} = -\frac{d}{dt} \left( \int_0^{\infty} \Psi_M(t/T) f_S(T) dT \right)
\]

\[
= -\int_0^{\infty} \frac{d}{dt} \Psi_M(t/T) f_S(T) dT = -\int_0^{\infty} \frac{d}{dt} e^{-t/T} f_S(T) dT
\]

\[
= \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{T} e^{-t/T} f_S(T) dT
\]

\[
= \int_0^{\infty} \Psi_M(t/T) f_S(T) \frac{dT}{T}.
\]
2.3 Markovian CTRW model with a population of length-scales

In this section we consider the case of a Markovian CTRW model with a population of length-scales. Hence, the space variable $r$ is scaled by a proper distributed length-scale $\ell$ and the ratio $r/\ell$ is a distributed variable because $\ell$ is a distributed variable. The characteristic function of the particle PDF turns out to be

$$\widehat{\rho}(k/\ell_0, t) = \int_0^\infty \widehat{\rho}_G(k\ell, t)q(\ell/\ell_0)\, d\ell/\ell_0, \quad (33)$$

where $p_G(r, t)$ is the PDF of the Gaussian CTRW model and $q(\ell/\ell_0)/\ell_0$ is the distribution of the length-scale $\ell$ such that

$$\int_0^\infty q(\ell/\ell_0)\, d\ell/\ell_0 = 1, \quad (34)$$

and $\ell_0$ is the effective observed length-scale. The case with a single length-scale is recovered when $q(\ell/\ell_0)/\ell_0 = \delta(\ell - \ell_0)$. Hereinafter we consider $\ell_0 = 1$.

Let the jump PDF be

$$\lambda(r-r') = \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\Lambda(r-r'), \quad (35)$$

where $\Lambda(r-r')$ is the cumulative distribution function of jumps, then we have

$$\Lambda(r-r') = \int_0^\infty \Lambda_G\left(\frac{r-r'}{\ell}\right)q(\ell)\, d\ell, \quad (36)$$

where $q(\ell)$ is the distribution of the length-scale and $\Lambda_G(r-r')$ is the cumulative distribution function of Gaussian jumps. Assuming $q(\ell)$ such that $\Lambda_G((r-r')/\ell)q(\ell)$ is integrable and differentiable and it holds

$$\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\Lambda_G((r-r')/\ell)q(\ell)/\ell\right| \leq g(\ell), \quad \text{with } g(\ell) \text{ integrable},$$

then we have

$$\lambda(r-r') = \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\Lambda(r-r') = \int_0^\infty \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\Lambda_G\left(\frac{r-r'}{\ell}\right)q(\ell)\, d\ell$$

$$= \int_0^\infty \lambda_G\left(\frac{r-r'}{\ell}\right)q(\ell)\frac{d\ell}{\ell}. \quad (37)$$

The PDF $p(r; t)$ of the process under consideration results to be

$$p(r; t) = \delta(r)\Psi(t) + \sum_{r'}\int_0^\ell p(r', \tau)\lambda(r-r')\psi_M(t-\tau)\, d\tau$$

$$= \delta(r)\Psi(t) + \sum_{r'}\int_0^\ell p(r', \tau)\left[\int_0^\infty \lambda_G\left(\frac{r-r'}{\ell}\right)q(\ell)/\ell\, d\ell\right]\psi_M(t-\tau)\, d\tau. \quad (38)$$

Now, we want to find an explicit formula for $q(\ell)$ and we proceed considering the Fourier transform of the above equation, i.e.,

$$\widehat{p}(k, t) = \Psi_M(t) + \int_0^\ell \widehat{p}(k, \tau)\widehat{\lambda}(k)\psi_M(t-\tau)\, d\tau, \quad (39)$$
or analogously

\[ \hat{\rho}(k, t) = \Psi(t) + \int_0^t \hat{\rho}(k, \tau) \left[ \int_0^\infty \hat{\lambda}_G(k\ell) q(\ell) \, d\ell \right] \psi_M(t - \tau) \, d\tau. \] (40)

Reminding that in the Markovian case the survival probability is \( \Psi_M(t) = e^{-t} \) and the waiting time PDF \( \psi(t) = e^{-t} \), equation (40) becomes

\[ \hat{\rho}(k, t) = e^{-t} + \hat{\lambda}(k) e^{-t} \int_0^t e^{\tau} \hat{\rho}(k, \tau) \, d\tau, \] (41)

and the following relation holds

\[ \hat{\lambda}(k) = \frac{\hat{\rho}(k, t) - e^{-t}}{e^{-t} \int_0^t e^{\tau} \hat{\rho}(k, \tau) \, d\tau}. \] (42)

Considering equation (11) in the Markovian case (that is \( \beta = 1 \)), we have

\[ \hat{\rho}(k, s) = \frac{1}{1 + s - \hat{\lambda}(k)}, \] (43)

and after Laplace anti-transforming we obtain

\[ \hat{\rho}(k, t) = e^{-(1-\hat{\lambda}(k))t}, \] (44)

that is the general expression for \( \hat{\rho}(k, t) \). Since \( |\hat{\lambda}_G(k)| \leq 1 \) from the proprieties of characteristic functions, then also \( |\hat{\lambda}(k)| \leq 1 \), i.e,

\[ |\hat{\lambda}(k)| \leq \int_0^\infty |\hat{\lambda}_G(k)| q(\ell) \, d\ell \leq \int_0^\infty q(\ell) \, d\ell = 1. \] (45)

Hence, the above general representation of \( \hat{\rho}(k, t) \) shows that \( \hat{\rho}(k, t) \) is a characteristic function for all \( t \in \mathbb{R}^+ \) and \( k \in \mathbb{R} \) because it holds

\[ e^{-(1-\hat{\lambda}(k))t} \leq 1. \] (46)

The explicit expression of \( \hat{\lambda}(k) \) can also be obtained. We know that the Gaussian density for jumps \( \lambda_G \) comes from an unbiased random walk in one-dimension. In this random walk, a particle starts from the origin and, at each time step \( \Delta t \), makes a jump \( \pm \Delta x \) to the left or the right with equal probability. We call \( P_{h,n} \) the probability that the particle will be in point \( x = h \sigma_G \) at the time \( t = n \Delta t \). In this simple case we have

\[ P_{h,n} = \frac{1}{2} P_{h-1,n-1} + \frac{1}{2} P_{h+1,n-1}, \] (47)

assuming \( P_{0,0} = 1 \). The characteristic function for this binomial formulation is

\[ \hat{\lambda}_G(k) = \sum_{h=-n}^n \mathcal{P}(X = \sigma_G h) e^{ik\sigma_G h}, \] (48)
that \( n \) even becomes

\[
\hat{\lambda}_G(k) = \sum_{h=0}^{n/2} \mathcal{P}(X = \sigma_G 2h) e^{ik\sigma_G 2h} \]

\[
= \sum_{h=0}^{n/2} \frac{n!}{(n+2h)! (n-2h)!} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^{\frac{n+2h}{2}} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^{\frac{n-2h}{2}} e^{ik\sigma_G 2h} \]

\[
= \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{h=0}^{n/2} \binom{n}{n+2h} e^{ik\sigma_G 2h} = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} e^{ik\sigma_G (2k-n)} \]

\[
= \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} e^{ik\sigma_G k} e^{-ik\sigma_G (n-k)} = \left( \frac{e^{i\sigma_G} + e^{-i\sigma_G}}{2} \right)^n \]

\[
= \cos(\sigma_G k)^n. \quad (49)
\]

Finally, the characteristic function \( \hat{\lambda}(k) \) turns out to be

\[
\hat{\lambda}(k) = \int_0^\infty \cos(\sigma_G k \ell) q(\ell) d\ell = \int_0^\infty \cos(\ell) \frac{1}{\sigma_G} q \left( \frac{\ell}{\sigma_G} \right) d\ell. \quad (50)
\]

2.3.1 Comparison with the Green function of the space-fractional diffusion equation

We recall that the Fourier transform of the Lévy stable density \( L^0_\alpha(x; t) \) that solves the space-fractional diffusion equation, i.e., equation (A.1) with \( \beta = 1 \), is

\[
\hat{L}^0_\alpha(kt^{1/\alpha}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ikt^{1/\alpha} \zeta} L^0_\alpha(\zeta) d\zeta
\]

\[
= 2 \int_0^\infty \cos(kt^{1/\alpha} \zeta) L^0_\alpha(\zeta) d\zeta = e^{-|k|^\alpha t}. \quad (51)
\]

If we compare the above relation with equation [50], we obtain also the following consistent pair \( \hat{\lambda}(k) \) and \( q(\ell) \):

\[
\hat{\lambda}(k) = \hat{L}^0_\alpha(k), \quad \frac{1}{\sigma_G} q \left( \frac{\ell}{\sigma_G} \right) = 2L^0_\alpha(\ell). \quad (52)
\]

Moreover, this choice is consistent also with the proprieties of unitary initial value for the characteristic function and of normalization for the PDF, i.e.,

\[
\hat{\lambda}(k) \bigg|_{k=0} = e^{-|k|^\alpha} \bigg|_{k=0} = 1, \quad (53)
\]
and

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{\lambda}(k) \bigg|_{k=0} &= \int_0^\infty \cos(\sigma G k \ell) q(\ell) d\ell \bigg|_{k=0} = \int_0^\infty q(\ell) d\ell \\
&= \int_0^\infty \cos(k \ell) \frac{1}{\sigma G^2} q \left( \frac{\ell}{\sigma G} \right) d\ell \bigg|_{k=0} = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\sigma G^2} q \left( \frac{\ell}{\sigma G} \right) d\ell \\
&= 2 \int_0^\infty L_0^0(x) = \int_{-\infty}^\infty L_0^0(x) = 1. 
\end{align*}
$$

(54)

In general for $k \in \mathbb{R}$ it holds

$$
\tilde{p}(k, t) = e^{-(1-\tilde{\lambda}(k)) t} = e^{-(1-e^{-|k|^\alpha}) t}
$$

$$
= \exp \left\{ t \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} |k|^\alpha n \right\} = \prod_{n=1}^\infty e^{(-1)^n |k|^\alpha n t}.
$$

(55)

In the limit $|k| \ll 1$ the characteristic function $\tilde{p}(k, t)$ results to be

$$
\tilde{p}(k, t) = e^{-(1-\tilde{\lambda}(k)) t} = e^{-|k|^\alpha t} \left( 1 - \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{|k|^\alpha n}{2^n} + \sum_{n=2}^\infty \frac{|k|^\alpha n}{2^n} + \ldots \right) = e^{-|k|^\alpha t} \left( 1 + O(t |k|^{2\alpha}) \right).
$$

(56)

Then, for $|k| \ll 1$, it holds

$$
\tilde{p}(k; t) \simeq \tilde{P}_0^0(k t^{1/\alpha}).
$$

(57)

Hence the characteristic function of the considered process is a Lévy stable density, that is the fundamental solution of the space-fractional diffusion equation. To conclude, since a characteristic function corresponds to a unique distribution and vice versa, in the considered limit ($k \ll 1$) the PDF $p(r - r'; t)$ is a Lévy stable density.

### 3 RANDOMLY-SCALED GAUSSIAN PROCESSES

Let us denote a randomly-scaled Gaussian process (RSGP) as a stochastic process defined by the product of a Gaussian process times a non-negative random variable. In general, the one-point one-time PDF is not sufficient to characterize a stochastic process. There are infinitely many stochastic processes that follow the same one-dimensional distribution and, thus, solve the same Cauchy problem for the associated diffusion/master equation describing the time evolution of the PDF. However, in RSGPs, this indeterminacy is solved by the choice of the Gaussian process that is fully characterized for given first and second moments.

In this paper we consider a special class of RSGPs called generalized grey Brownian motion (ggBm), that is defined by using the fractional Brownian motion as Gaussian process (Mura et al., 2008; Mura and Pagnini, 2008; Mura and Mainardi, 2009; Pagnini et al., 2012, 2013; Pagnini, 2012). For other form of randomly-scaled Gaussian process we refer the reader to (Sliusarenko et al., 2019). Hence, we consider the following class of processes:

$$
X_{\alpha, \beta}(t) = \ell B^H(t), \quad 0 < \beta \leq 1, \quad 0 < \alpha \leq 2,
$$

(58)

where $B^H(t)$ is the fBm process with Hurst exponent $0 < H < 1$, and then with power law variance $t^{2H}$.
The application of this approach to fractional diffusion is based on the correspondence of the PDFs resulting from the product of two independent random variables with the PDFs resulting from the integral representation formula \( (A.10) \).

Let define \( Z_1 \) and \( Z_2 \) as two real independent random variables: \( z_1 \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( z_2 \in \mathbb{R}^+ \). The associated PDFs are \( p_1(z_1) \) and \( p_2(z_2) \), respectively. Let \( Z \) be the random variable obtained by the product of \( Z_1 \) and \( Z_2 \), i.e., \( Z = Z_1 Z_2 \). Denoting with \( p(z) \) the PDF of \( Z \), it results:

\[
p(z) = \int_{0}^{\infty} p_1\left(\frac{z}{\lambda^{\gamma}}\right) p_2(\lambda) \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda^{\gamma}}. \tag{59}\]

Comparing the above formula with the integral representation formula \( (A.10) \), and applying the change of variables \( z = xt^{-\gamma}\omega \) and \( \lambda = \tau t^{-\omega} \), the integral representation \( (71) \) is recovered from \( (59) \) by setting:

\[
\frac{1}{t^\gamma} p_1\left(\frac{x}{t^\omega}\right) \equiv p(x; t), \quad \frac{1}{t^\gamma} p_1\left(\frac{x}{\tau^\omega}\right) \equiv \psi(x; \tau), \quad \frac{1}{t^\omega} p_2\left(\frac{\tau}{t^\omega}\right) \equiv \varphi(\tau; t). \tag{60}\]

Then, by identifying functions and parameters as

\[
p(z) \equiv K_{\gamma, \omega}^0(z), \quad p_1(z_1) \equiv G(z_1), \quad p_2(z_2) \equiv K_{\gamma, \omega}^{-\alpha/2}(z_2), \tag{61}\]

\[
\gamma = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \omega = \frac{2\beta}{\alpha}, \quad \gamma \omega = \frac{\beta}{\alpha}, \tag{62}\]

formula \( (59) \) reduces to the integral formula \( (A.10) \) for the symmetric space-time fractional diffusion equation. In terms of random variables it follows that (Pagnini and Paradisi [2016])

\[
Z = Xt^{-\beta/\alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad Z = Z_1 Z_2^{1/2}, \tag{63}\]

hence it holds

\[
X = Zt^{\beta/\alpha} = Z_1 t^{\beta/\alpha} Z_2^{1/2}. \tag{64}\]

Since \( p_1(z_1) \equiv G(z_1) \), \( Z_1 \) is a Gaussian random variable. Consequently, the variable \( Z_1 t^{\beta/\alpha} \) is Gaussian with variance proportional to \( t^{2\beta/\alpha} \). Hence, we chose the fBm with \( 0 < H = \beta/\alpha < 1 \) as a Gaussian process with consistent power law variance. Furthermore, the random variable \( Z_2 = \Lambda_{\alpha/2, \beta} \) is distributed according to \( p_2(z_2) \equiv K_{\alpha/2, \beta}^{-\alpha/2}(z_2) \). Finally, we have the process

\[
X_{\alpha, \beta}(t) = \sqrt{\Lambda_{\alpha/2, \beta}} B^H(t), \quad 0 < \beta < 1, \quad 0 < \alpha < 2, \quad 0 < H = \beta/\alpha < 1. \tag{65}\]

where \( \ell = \sqrt{\Lambda_{\alpha/2, \beta}} \) is an independent constant non-negative random variable distributed according to the PDF \( K_{\alpha/2, \beta}^{-\alpha/2}(\lambda) \), \( \lambda \geq 0 \), that is a special case of \( (A.7) \). The process defined above is the solution of the space-time fractional diffusion equation \( (A.1) \) in the symmetric case. This means that the one-time one-point PDF of \( X_{\alpha, \beta}(t) \) is the fundamental solution of equation \( (A.1) \) in the symmetric case, namely the PDF \( K_{\alpha, \beta}^0(x; t) \) defined in \( (A.10) \).
The space-fractional diffusion is recovered when $\beta = 1$, in fact by using formula (A.7) with $t = 1$, we have
\[ K_{\alpha/2,1}^{-\alpha/2}(\lambda) = \int_0^\infty M_1(\tau)L_{\alpha/2}^{-\alpha/2}(\lambda; \tau) \, d\tau = \int_0^\infty \delta(1 - \tau)L_{\alpha/2}^{-\alpha/2}(\lambda; \tau) \, d\tau = L_{\alpha/2}^{-\alpha/2}(\lambda). \tag{66} \]

Here we are interested in the distribution of $\ell = \sqrt{1/\alpha}$, then, by normalization condition, the PDF of $\ell$ results to be
\[ q(\ell) = 2\ell L_{\alpha/2}^{-\alpha/2}(\ell^2). \tag{67} \]

Analogously, the time-fractional diffusion is recovered when $\alpha = 2$, in fact by using formula (A.7) with $t = 1$, we have
\[ K_{1,\beta}^{-1}(\lambda) = \int_0^\infty M_\beta(\tau)L_1^{-1}(\lambda; \tau) \, d\tau = \int_0^\infty M_\beta(\tau)\delta(\lambda - \tau) \, d\tau = M_\beta(\lambda), \tag{68} \]
and the corresponding PDF of $\ell$ is
\[ q(\ell) = 2\ell M_\beta(\ell^2). \tag{69} \]

\section{TIME-SUBORDINATION FOR GAUSSIAN PROCESSES}

Another approach proposed to model the emergence of fractional and, more in general, anomalous diffusion in complex media is the time-subordination of a otherwise standard diffusion process (see, e.g., (Mainardi et al., 2003, 2006; Gorenflo and Mainardi, 2011)). Even when the time-subordination procedure is applied to a Gaussian process, the PDF of the resulting process is no longer Gaussian, and the particle MSD has a non-linear time dependence. Let $Y(\tau), \tau > 0$, be a stochastic process. Time-subordination is defined by the following expression:
\[ X(t) = Y(Q(t)). \tag{70} \]

Thus, time-subordination follows from the randomization of the time clock in a stochastic process $Y(\tau)$, i.e., by using a new clock $Q(t)$, being $Q(t)$ a random process with non-negative increments. The resulting process $Y(Q(t))$ is said to be subordinated to $Y(\tau)$. This is called the parent process, while $Q(t)$ is called the directing process, so that it is said that $Y(\tau)$ it is directed by $Q(t)$ (Feller [1971]).

In diffusion processes, the parameter $\tau$ is named operational time. The process $t = t(\tau)$, which is the inverse of $\tau = Q(t)$, is called the leading process (Gorenflo and Mainardi, 2011, 2012). It is worth noting that, in general, $X(t)$ is non-Markovian, even when the parent process $Y(\tau)$ is Markovian. At the macroscopic level, i.e., in terms of the particle PDF, the subordination process $X(t)$ is described by the following expression:
\[ p(x; t) = \int_0^\infty \psi(x; \tau)\varphi(\tau; t) \, d\tau, \tag{71} \]

where $p(x; t)$ is the PDF of $X(t)$, $\psi(x; \tau)$ the PDF of $Y(\tau)$ and $\varphi(\tau; t)$ the PDF of $Q(t)$. In the following, the PDFs are self-similar, i.e., have a scaling property. Similarly to the approaches previously described,
we introduce a population of time-scales $T$ with distribution function $f(T)$ for the subordinated process $Y(\tau)$. Then parameter $\tau$ is now determined by the process $Q(t/T)$.

By comparing (71) and (A.10) we have

$$p(x; t) \equiv K_{0,\alpha,\beta}^0(x; t), \quad \psi(x; \tau) \equiv G(x; \tau) = \frac{1}{\tau^{1/2}} G \left( \frac{x}{\tau^{1/2}} \right), \quad \varphi(\tau; t) \equiv K_{\alpha/2,\beta}^{-\alpha/2}(\tau; t).$$  

Hence, the integral representation (71) turns out to be

$$K_{2,\alpha,\beta}^0(x; t) = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{Q(\tau / T)^{1/2}} G \left( \frac{x}{Q(\tau / T)^{1/2}} \right) K_{\alpha/2,\beta}^{-\alpha/2}(Q(\tau / T); t) \frac{dQ}{dT} dT.$$  

In the case of space-fractional diffusion, from formula (A.11) we observe that the scaling property gives $Q(t/T) = (t/T)^{1/\alpha}$, and $f(T)$ results to be

$$f(T) = L_{\alpha/2}^{-\alpha/2} \left( \frac{1}{T^{1/\alpha}} \right) \frac{1}{\alpha^{1/\alpha + 1}}.$$  

Analogously, in the case of time-fractional diffusion, from formula (A.12) we observe that the scaling property gives $Q(t/T) = (t/T)^{\beta}$, and $f(T)$ results to be

$$f(T) = M_\beta \left( \frac{1}{T^{\beta}} \right) \frac{\beta}{T^{\beta + 1}}.$$  

5 CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we studied a framework for explaining the emergence of anomalous diffusion in media characterized by random structures. In particular, we considered three different modelling approaches based on Gaussian processes but displaying a population of scales. The main idea is that the deviation from Gaussianity is indeed an indirect estimation of the population of the scales that characterize the medium where the diffusion takes place. We discussed the cases of space- and time-fractional diffusion through the CTRW, the ggBm and time-subordinated process.

The introduction of a population of scales significantly affects the particle PDF. The same fractional diffusion follows from different populations of scales when different Gaussian processes are considered. This suggests that the same macroscopic fractional process can be experimentally observed in different systems displaying different populations of scales and, consequently, driven by different underlying mesoscopic Gaussian processes. In Figs. 1 and 2 we give a synthetic picture of the three processes here described, all leading to the macroscopic space- or time-fractional diffusion equations.

When a macroscopic fractional process is experimentally observed, the simultaneous measurement of the population of scales embodies a selection criterion for the corresponding mesoscopic (and maybe not experimentally detectable) underlying Gaussian process. The same holds in the other way round, when a macroscopic fractional process is experimentally observed in place of a specific Gaussian process theoretically and/or experimentally expected, and then the deviation from Gaussianity embodies an indirect measurement of the population of the scales.
In general, this framework can be adopted for studying the presence and the characterization of impurities, as well as of obstacles, in a given complex medium. These results highlight the key role of the properties of the medium, embodied by the population of the scales, in the determination of the proper stochastic process for a given medium. The present research and our final claim aim to analyse and provide an explanation to the role and the effects of the system’s configuration (environment plus particles) on the emergence of deviations from Gaussianity. In this respect, the present results add a contribution to similar existing literature concerning, for example, the dependence on system’s configuration of the emergence of nonextensive statistical mechanics in confined granular media (Combe et al., 2015), or the emergence of processes modelled by fractional linear diffusion or by integer non-linear diffusion accordingly to different settings of CTRW simulations (Pereira et al., 2018).

**Space-fractional diffusion equation**

\[
q(\ell) = 2L_0^\alpha(\ell)
\]

\[
q(\ell) = 2\ell L_{\alpha/2}(\ell^2)
\]

\[
f(T) = \frac{1}{T^{1/\alpha+1}}L_{\alpha/2} \left( \frac{1}{T^{1/\alpha}} \right)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(x; t) = D_\beta^\alpha u(x; t)
\]

**Figure 1.** Schematic picture of the three stochastic processes in heterogenous media leading to the same space-fractional diffusion equation for the 1-point 1-time PDF.

**Time-fractional diffusion equation**

\[
f_S(T) = \frac{1}{T^\beta}K_\beta \left( \frac{1}{T} \right)
\]

\[
q(\ell) = 2\ell M_\beta(\ell^2)
\]

\[
f(T) = \frac{\beta}{T^{\beta+1}}M_\beta \left( \frac{1}{T^\beta} \right)
\]

\[
\ell D^\beta_\alpha u(x; t) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} u(x; t)
\]

**Figure 2.** Schematic picture of the three stochastic processes in heterogenous media leading to the same time-fractional diffusion equation for the 1-point 1-time PDF.


APPENDIX: FRACTIONAL DIFFUSION EQUATIONS

For mathematical and notation convenience, we report in this Appendix the space- and the time-fractional diffusion equations as special cases of the more general space-time fractional diffusion even if it is not considered in itself. In the space-time fractional diffusion equation (STFDE) [Mainardi et al. 2001] the first order time derivative and second order space derivative of ordinary diffusion equation are replaced with with the Caputo time-fractional derivative \( t^{\beta}D_t^\alpha \) of real order \( \beta \) and with the Riesz–Feller space-fractional derivative \( x^{\theta}D_x^\alpha \) of real order \( \alpha \) and skewness \( \theta \), respectively. Thus, the STFDE is given by:

\[
\begin{align*}
 t^{\beta}D_t^\alpha u(x;t) &= x^{\theta}D_x^\alpha u(x;t), \\
\end{align*}
\]  

(A.1)

with

\[
\begin{align*}
 u(x;0) &= \delta(x), \quad u(\pm\infty; t) = 0, \quad -\infty < x < +\infty, \quad t \geq 0. \\
\end{align*}
\]  

(A.2)

The real parameters \( \alpha, \theta \), and \( \beta \) are in the following ranges:

\[
0 < \alpha \leq 2, \quad |\theta| \leq \min\{\alpha, 2 - \alpha\}, \quad 0 < \beta \leq 1 \quad \text{or} \quad 1 < \beta \leq \alpha \leq 2. \\
\]  

(A.3)

The Caputo time-fractional derivative \( t^{\beta}D_t^\alpha \) is defined by its Laplace transform as

\[
\int_0^{+\infty} e^{-st} \left\{ t^{\beta}D_t^\alpha u(x;t) \right\} dt = s^\beta \tilde{u}(x;s) - \sum_{n=0}^{m-1} s^{\beta-1-n} u^{(n)}(x;0^+), \\
\]  

(A.4)

with \( m - 1 < \beta \leq m \) and \( m \in N \). The Riesz–Feller space-fractional derivative \( x^{\theta}D_x^\alpha \) is defined by its Fourier trasform according to

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{ix\kappa} \left\{ x^{\theta}D_x^\alpha u(x;t) \right\} dx = -|\kappa|^\alpha e^{i(\text{sign}\kappa)\theta\pi/2} \tilde{u}(\kappa;t), \\
\]  

(A.5)

with \( \alpha \) and \( \theta \) as in (A.3). The parameter \( \theta \) is an asymmetry parameter and in the symmetric case it results \( \theta = 0 \).

When \( 1 < \beta \leq 2 \) a second initial condition is needed corresponding to \( u_t(x;0) = \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \bigg|_{t=0} \), and two Green functions follow according to the initial conditions \( \{ u(x;0) = \delta(x), u_t(x;0) = 0 \} \) and \( \{ u(x;0) = 0, u_t(x;0) = \delta(x) \} \), respectively. However, this second Green function turns out to be a primitive (with respect to the variable \( t \)) of the first Green function, so that it cannot be interpreted as a PDF because it is no longer normalized over \( x \) [Mainardi and Pagnini 2003]. Hence, solely the first Green function can be considered for diffusion problems.

The general solution of (A.1) can be represented as

\[
\begin{align*}
 u(x;t) &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} K_{\alpha,\beta}^\theta(x - \xi; t) u(\xi;0) d\xi, \\
\end{align*}
\]  

(A.6)

where \( K_{\alpha,\beta}^\theta(x; t) \) is the Green function, or fundamental solution, that corresponds to the case when equation (A.2) is equipped with the initial condition \( u(x;0) = \delta(x) \).
An important integral representation formula of the Green function $K_{\alpha,\beta}^{\theta}(x; t)$ is (Mainardi et al., 2001)

$$K_{\alpha,\beta}^{\theta}(x; t) = \int_0^\infty M_{\beta}(\tau|t) L_{\alpha}(x|\tau) d\tau, \quad x \geq 0, \quad 0 < \beta \leq 1,$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.7)

where $L_{\alpha}(z)$ is the Lévy stable density and $M_{\beta}(\xi), 0 < \beta < 1$, is the M-Wright/Mainardi function defined as

$$M_{\beta}(z) := \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{(-z)^n}{n! \Gamma(-\beta n + (1 - \beta))}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (A.8)

A second important integral representation is (Pagnini and Paradisi, 2016)

$$K_{\alpha,\beta}^{\theta}(x; t) = \int_0^\infty L_{\theta}(x; \xi) K_{\alpha,\beta}^{-\nu}(\xi; t) d\xi, \quad x \geq 0,$$ \hspace{1cm} (A.9)

with

$$\alpha = \eta \nu, \quad \theta = \gamma \nu,$$

and

$$0 < \eta \leq 2, \quad |\gamma| \leq \min\{\eta, 2 - \eta\}, \quad 0 < \nu \leq 1.$$ \hspace{1cm} (A.10)

When $\eta = 2$ and $\gamma = 0$, it holds $\nu = \alpha/2$ and $\theta = 0$, the spatial variable $x$ turns out to be distributed according to a Gaussian density and formula (A.9) becomes

$$K_{\alpha,\beta}^{\theta}(x; t) = \int_0^\infty G(x; \xi) K_{\alpha,2}^{\theta/2}(\xi; t) d\xi, \quad 0 < \alpha \leq 2, \quad 0 < \beta \leq 1.$$ \hspace{1cm} (A.11)

The space-fractional diffusion equation is obtained in the special case $\{0 < \alpha < 2, \beta = 1\}$ such that

$$K_{\alpha,1}^{\theta}(x; t) = L_{\alpha}(x; t) = t^{-\alpha/\alpha} L_{\alpha}(\frac{x}{t^{\alpha/\alpha}}), \quad x \geq 0.$$ \hspace{1cm} (A.12)

where $L_{\alpha}(x)$ is the class of strictly stable probability density functions with algebraic tails decaying as $|x|^{-(\alpha+1)}$ and infinite variance. The parameter $\alpha$ and $\theta$ are the scaling and asymmetry parameters, respectively, $\alpha$ is also called stability index. Moreover, stable PDFs with $0 < \alpha < 1$ and extremal value of the asymmetry parameter $\theta$ are one-sided with support $R_0^+$ if $\theta = -\alpha$ and $R_0^-$ if $\theta = +\alpha$.

The time-fractional diffusion equation is obtained in the special case $\{\alpha = 2, 0 < \beta < 2\}$ such that

$$K_{2,\beta}^{\theta}(x; t) = \frac{1}{2} M_{\beta/2}(|x|; t) = \frac{1}{2} t^{-\beta/2} M_{\beta/2}^{\theta/2} \left(\frac{|x|}{t^{\beta/2}}\right), \quad -\infty < x < +\infty,$$ \hspace{1cm} (A.13)

where $M_{\beta}(z), 0 < \beta < 1$, is the M-Wright/Mainardi function (Mainardi et al., 2010a,b; Cahoy, 2011; 2012a,b; Pagnini, 2013; Pagnini and Scalas, 2004). Function $M_{\beta}(z)$ has stretched exponential tails such that the PDF $K_{2,\beta}^{\theta}(x; t)$ has a finite variance that grows in time with the power law $t^\beta$. Since $\alpha = 2$, according to (A.3), it holds $\theta = 0$, then the PDF is symmetric.

The classical diffusion equation is recovered in the special case $\{\alpha = 2, \beta = 1\}$, and the Gaussian PDF is also recovered as a limiting case from both the space-fractional ($\alpha = 2$) and the time-fractional ($\beta = 1$)
diffusion equations, i.e.,

\[
K_{2,1}^0(x; t) = L_2^0(x; t) = \frac{1}{2} M_{1/2}(|x|; t) = G(x; t) = \frac{e^{-x^2/(4t)}}{\sqrt{4\pi t}}, \quad -\infty < x < +\infty.
\]  

(A.13)
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FRACTIONAL DIFFUSION EQUATIONS

Appendix to:

For mathematical and notation convenience, we report in this Appendix the space- and the time-fractional diffusion equations as special cases of the more general space-time fractional diffusion even if it is not considered in itself. In the space-time fractional diffusion equation (STFDE) the first order time derivative and second order space derivative of ordinary diffusion equation are replaced with the Caputo time-fractional derivative \( t^\alpha \) of real order \( \alpha \) and with the Riesz–Feller space-fractional derivative \( x^{\beta \theta} \) of real order \( \alpha \) and skewness \( \theta \), respectively. Thus, the STFDE is given by:

\[
_1D^\beta_\ast u(x; t) = _xD^\alpha_\theta u(x; t), \tag{A.1}
\]

with

\[
u(x; 0) = \delta(x), \quad u(\pm\infty; t) = 0, \quad -\infty < x < +\infty, \quad t \geq 0. \tag{A.2}
\]

The real parameters \( \alpha, \theta \) and \( \beta \) are in the following ranges:

\[
0 < \alpha \leq 2, \quad |\theta| \leq \min\{\alpha, 2 - \alpha\}, \quad 0 < \beta \leq 1 \quad \text{or} \quad 1 < \beta \leq \alpha \leq 2. \tag{A.3}
\]

The Caputo time-fractional derivative \( _1D^\beta_\ast \) is defined by its Laplace transform as

\[
\int_0^{+\infty} e^{-st} \left\{ _1D^\beta_\ast u(x; t) \right\} \, dt = s^\beta \tilde{u}(x; s) - \sum_{n=0}^{m-1} s^{\beta-1-n} u^{(n)}(x; 0^+), \tag{A.4}
\]

with \( m - 1 < \beta \leq m \) and \( m \in N \). The Riesz–Feller space-fractional derivative \( _xD^\theta_\theta \) is defined by its Fourier transformation according to

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{i\kappa x} \left\{ _xD^\theta_\theta u(x; t) \right\} \, dx = -|\kappa|^{\alpha} e^{i(\text{sign}\kappa)\theta\pi/2} \tilde{u}(\kappa; t), \tag{A.5}
\]

with \( \alpha \) and \( \theta \) as in (A.3). The parameter \( \theta \) is an asymmetry parameter and in the symmetric case it results \( \theta = 0 \).

When \( 1 < \beta \leq 2 \) a second initial condition is needed corresponding to \( u_t(x; 0) = \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}vert_{t=0} \), and two Green functions follow according to the initial conditions \( \{ u(x; 0) = \delta(x), \, u_t(x; 0) = 0 \} \) and \( \{ u(x; 0) = 0, \, u_t(x; 0) = \delta(x) \} \), respectively. However, this second Green function turns out to be a primitive (with respect to the variable \( t \)) of the first Green function, so that it cannot be interpreted as a PDF because it is no longer normalized over \( x \) [12]. Hence, solely the first Green function can be considered for diffusion problems.

The general solution of (A.1) can be represented as

\[
u(x; t) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} K^{\theta}_{\alpha, \beta}(x - \xi; t) u(\xi; 0) \, d\xi, \tag{A.6}
\]
where $K_{\alpha,\beta}^0(x; t)$ is the Green function, or fundamental solution, that corresponds to the case when equation (A.2) is equipped with the initial condition $u(x; 0) = \delta(x)$.

An important integral representation formula of the Green function $K_{\alpha,\beta}^0(x; t)$ is [11]

$$
K_{\alpha,\beta}^0(x; t) = \int_0^\infty M_\beta(\tau; t) L_\alpha^\theta(x; \tau) d\tau, \quad x \geq 0, \quad 0 < \beta \leq 1,
$$

(A.7)

where $L_\alpha^\theta(z)$ is the Lévy stable density and $M_\beta(\xi)$, $0 < \beta < 1$, is the M-Wright/Mainardi function defined as

$$
M_\beta(z) := \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{(-z)^n}{n! \Gamma(-\beta n + (1 - \beta))}.
$$

(A.8)

A second important integral representation is [56]

$$
K_{\alpha,\beta}^0(x; t) = \int_0^\infty L_\alpha^\theta(x; \xi) K_{\alpha/2,\beta}^{-\alpha/2}(\xi; t) d\xi, \quad x \geq 0,
$$

(A.9)

with

$$
\alpha = \eta \nu, \quad \theta = \gamma \nu,
$$

and

$$
0 < \eta \leq 2, \quad |\gamma| \leq \min\{\eta, 2 - \eta\}, \quad 0 < \nu \leq 1.
$$

When $\eta = 2$ and $\gamma = 0$, it holds $\nu = \alpha/2$ and $\theta = 0$, the spatial variable $x$ turns out to be distributed according to a Gaussian density and formula (A.9) becomes

$$
K_{\alpha,\beta}^0(x; t) = \int_0^\infty G(x; \xi) K_{\alpha/2,\beta}^{-\alpha/2}(\xi; t) d\xi, \quad 0 < \alpha \leq 2, \quad 0 < \beta \leq 1.
$$

(A.10)

The space-fractional diffusion equation is obtained in the special case $\{0 < \alpha < 2, \beta = 1\}$ such that

$$
K_{\alpha,1}^0(x; t) = L_\alpha^0(x; t) = t^{-1/\alpha} L_\alpha^\theta \left( \frac{x}{t^{1/\alpha}} \right), \quad x \geq 0,
$$

(A.11)

where $L_\alpha^0(x)$ is the class of strictly stable probability density functions with algebraic tails decaying as $|x|^{-(\alpha+1)}$ and infinite variance. The parameter $\alpha$ and $\theta$ are the scaling and asymmetry parameters, respectively. $\alpha$ is also called stability index. Moreover, stable PDFs with $0 < \alpha < 1$ and extremal value of the asymmetry parameter $\theta$ are one-sided with support $R_0^+$ if $\theta = -\alpha$ and $R_0^-$ if $\theta = +\alpha$.

The time-fractional diffusion equation is obtained in the special case $\{\alpha = 2, 0 < \beta < 2\}$ such that

$$
K_{2,\beta}^0(x; t) = \frac{1}{2} M_{\beta/2}(|x|; t) = \frac{1}{2} t^{-\beta/2} M_{\beta/2} \left( \frac{|x|}{t^{\beta/2}} \right), \quad -\infty < x < +\infty,
$$

(A.12)

where $M_\beta(z)$, $0 < \beta < 1$, is the M-Wright/Mainardi function [82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88]. Function $M_\beta(z)$ has stretched exponential tails such that the PDF $K_{2,\beta}^0(x; t)$ has a finite variance that grows in time with the power law $t^\beta$. Since $\alpha = 2$, according to (A.3), it holds $\theta = 0$, then the PDF is symmetric.

The classical diffusion equation is recovered in the special case $\{\alpha = 2, \beta = 1\}$, and the Gaussian PDF is also recovered as a limiting case from both the space-fractional ($\alpha = 2$) and the time-fractional ($\beta = 1$)
diffusion equations, i.e.,

\[
K_{2,1}^0(x; t) = L_2^0(x; t) = \frac{1}{2} M_{1/2}(|x|; t) = G(x; t) = \frac{e^{-x^2/(4t)}}{\sqrt{4\pi t}}, \quad -\infty < x < +\infty. \quad (A.13)
\]
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