

From G-Equation to Michelson-Sivashinsky Equation in Turbulent Premixed Combustion Modelling

G. PAGNINI

gpagnini@bcamath.org

BCAM – Basque Center for Applied Mathematics

Ikerbasque – Basque Foundation for Sciences

Alameda Mazarredo 14, 48009 Bilbao, Basque Country - Spain

Abstract

It is well known that the Michelson-Sivashinsky equation describes hydrodynamic instabilities in turbulent premixed combustion. Here a formulation of the flame front propagation based on the G-equation and on stochastic fluctuations imposed to the average flame position is considered to derive the Michelson-Sivashinsky equation from a modelling point of view. The same approach was shown to reproduce the G-equation along the motion of the mean flame position, when the stochastic fluctuations are removed, as well as the Zimont & Lipatnikov model, when a plane front is assumed. The new results here presented support this promising approach as a novel and general stochastic formulation for modelling turbulent premixed combustion.

Introduction

The research presented at the XXXVIII Meeting of the Italian Section of the Combustion Institute [1] is here continued. Further results on a novel promising formulation for modelling turbulent premixed combustion [1,2], see also [3], are derived. In particular, it is here reminded that such approach starts from a G-equation that describes the mean flame position, and when stochastic fluctuations are introduced, a reaction-diffusion equation which describes the effective burned fraction follows under the assumption that the probability density function (PDF) of the random process underlying the random front motion is known [1,2,3].

This formulation shows that two different approaches to model turbulent premixed combustion, and furthermore considered alternatives to each other, i.e. the G-equation and the reaction-diffusion equation, are indeed complementary and they can be reconciled. When stochastic fluctuations are removed, such formulation reduces to the G-equation along the motion of the mean flame position, and when a plane front is assumed, the Zimont & Lipatnikov model [4,5] is recovered. Considered these results other efforts are pursued to develop further this novel and general stochastic formulation for modelling turbulent premixed combustion.

Here this approach is used to derive the Michelson-Sivashinsky equation [6,7,8] that describes hydrodynamic instabilities in turbulent premixed combustion.

Model Formulation

The following presentation of the model formulation is based on [1]. Let the scalar function $G(x, t)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, be a level surface that represents the front which divides burned and unburned domains and the front be denoted by $\Gamma(t)$, $t \geq 0$. Let x_c be a point on the level surface $G=c$ at the instant t_0 , such that the corresponding front is $\Gamma_0 = \Gamma(t_0) = \{x = x_0 \in S | G(x_0, t_0) = c\}$, where $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. The level surface propagates with a consumption speed given by the laminar burning velocity s_L in the normal direction \mathbf{n} relative to the mixture element and its evolution is described by the following Hamilton-Jacobi equation where the flow velocity field is \mathbf{u}

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla G = s_L \|\nabla G\|, \quad \mathbf{n} = -\frac{\nabla G}{\|\nabla G\|}. \quad (1)$$

Let the front motion be described by the random process $X_c^\omega(\hat{x}, t)$ where ω labels any independent realization, such that the random contour is

$$\Gamma^\omega(t) = \{x = X_c^\omega(t) \in S | G^\omega(X_c^\omega, t) = c\}. \quad (2)$$

Let the mean value of X_c^ω be denoted by $\langle X^\omega(\hat{x}, t) \rangle = \hat{x}(t)$, then if $P(x_c; t | \hat{x})$ is the corresponding PDF, with initial condition $P(x_c; t_0 | \hat{x}) = \delta(x - x_0)$, the mean flame position is given by the integral

$$\langle x_c \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} x_c P(x_c; t | \hat{x}) dx_c = \hat{x}(t). \quad (3)$$

Introducing $\check{G}(\hat{x}, t)$, with $\check{G}(\hat{x}, t_0) = \check{G}(x_0, t_0) = c$, as the implicit formulation of the mean flame position \hat{x} , the ensemble averaging of (1) gives [9]

$$\frac{\partial \check{G}}{\partial t} + \hat{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla \check{G} = -\widehat{s_L \check{\mathbf{n}}} \cdot \nabla \check{G}. \quad (4)$$

Since the G-equation can be derived on the basis of considerations about symmetries, there is a unique model for the RHS term of equation (4) providing a relation between the laminar burning velocity s_L and the turbulent burning velocity s_T [9], i.e.

$$\widehat{s_L \check{\mathbf{n}}} = s_T \check{\mathbf{n}}, \quad \check{\mathbf{n}} = -\frac{\nabla \check{G}}{\|\nabla \check{G}\|}. \quad (5)$$

Finally, combining equation (4) and model (5), the G-equation that describes the surface motion along the mean flame position results to be

$$\frac{\partial \check{G}}{\partial t} + \hat{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla \check{G} = s_T \|\nabla \check{G}\|. \quad (6)$$

Note in (5) that the normal vector of the mean flame front, i.e. $\check{\mathbf{n}}$, is different from

the mean of the normal vectors to the random flame front, i.e. \hat{n} . In general, the mean of the random level surface $\langle G^\omega \rangle$ is different from the level surface \check{G} depicted by the mean position of the flame [10].

Applying properties of the Dirac δ -function, it follows

$$G^\omega(X_c^\omega, t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G(x, t) \delta(x - X_c^\omega(\hat{x}, t)) dx, \quad (7)$$

as well as a formula including the stochastic fluctuations around the front depicted by the mean flame position, i.e.

$$\phi^\omega(x, t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \check{G}(\hat{x}, t) \delta(x - X_c^\omega(\hat{x}, t)) d\hat{x}. \quad (8)$$

Given the level surface \check{G} , the inner domain $\check{\Omega}(t)$ enclosed by the front contour $\check{\Gamma}(t) = \{x \in \partial\check{\Omega}(t)\}$ can be understood as the effective volume occupied by the burned fraction. Then the following indicator function is introduced

$$I_{\check{\Omega}}(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in \check{\Omega}(t), \\ 0, & x \notin \check{\Omega}(t). \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

In analogy with (8), the random indicator associated to the surface which enclose the volume of the burned fraction is given by the following formula

$$I_{\check{\Omega}}^\omega(x, t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} I_{\check{\Omega}}(\hat{x}, t) \delta(x - X_c^\omega(\hat{x}, t)) d\hat{x} = \int_{\check{\Omega}} \delta(x - X_c^\omega(\hat{x}, t)) d\hat{x}. \quad (10)$$

Finally, ensemble averaging of (10) gives the effective fraction of the burned mass

$$\langle I_{\check{\Omega}}^\omega(x, t) \rangle = \int_{\check{\Omega}} \langle \delta(x - X_c^\omega(\hat{x}, t)) \rangle d\hat{x} = \int_{\check{\Omega}(t)} P(x; t | \hat{x}) d\hat{x} = V(x, t). \quad (11)$$

Applying the Reynolds transport theorem to formula (11), a reaction-diffusion equation follows [3]:

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} = \int_{\check{\Omega}(t)} \frac{\partial P}{\partial t} d\hat{x} + \int_{\check{\Omega}(t)} \nabla_{\hat{x}} \cdot [s_T \check{n} P(x; t | \hat{x})] d\hat{x}. \quad (12)$$

Equation (12) reduces to a Hamilton-Jacobi equation when no diffusion is assumed [1,2,3], and, when a plane front is assumed, it reduces to the same equation derived by Zimont & Lipatnikov [4] and studied in [5], see [1,2,3]. This suggests that equation (12) can be considered as the natural extension of Zimont & Lipatnikov model to the case with non null mean curvature.

Derivation of the Michelson-Sivashinky equation

Let $(-\nabla^2)^s$, $s \in (0,1)$ be the fractional Laplacian defined by its Fourier symbol $-|k|^{2s}$. When $s = 1$ the classical Laplacian is recovered. It is here reminded that the

Lévy stable densities are the Green functions of the space-fractional diffusion equation

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial t} = -(-\nabla^2)^s P, \quad (13)$$

and in particular the Green function corresponds to the Gaussian density when $s = 1$ and to the Lorentzian density when $s = 1/2$. The Gaussian density is associated to classical diffusion and the Lorentzian density can be associated to a lightly damped linear oscillator.

Consider now equation (12), by setting

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial t} = \nabla^2 P - [-(-\nabla^2)^{1/2} P], \quad (14)$$

where the second term on the RHS, because of the sign minus and $s = 1/2$, may be understood as a counter-damping effect of an harmonic oscillator, and by setting

$$s_T = - \frac{(\int_{\tilde{\Omega}(t)} \nabla_{\hat{x}} P(x;t|\hat{x}) d\hat{x})^2}{\int_{\tilde{\Omega}(t)} \nabla_{\hat{x}} \cdot [\tilde{n} P(x;t|\hat{x})] d\hat{x}}, \quad (15)$$

the *multi-dimensional* Michelson-Sivashinky equation is obtained. In fact, in the one-dimensional case it holds

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x^2} - \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial x}\right)^2 - D_x^1 V, \quad (16)$$

which is the Michelson-Sivashinky equation [6,7,8], where D_x^1 is the fractional derivative of order 1 in the Riesz-Feller sense with Fourier symbol is $-|k|$, which differs from the classical first derivative, and is related to the Hilbert transform by the formula

$$D_x^1 V = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{d}{dx} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{V(x')}{(x'-x)} dx'. \quad (17)$$

The solution $V(x,t)$ to the Michelson-Sivashinky equation (16), or to its multi-dimensional version, can be obtained computing the integral (11) where the kernel function $P(x;t|\hat{x})$ is the Green function of (14) and the domain of integration $\tilde{\Omega}(t)$ is obtained by the indicator function (9) solving the G-equation (6) with s_T defined in (15). This procedure constitutes a practical scheme to compute numerically the solution to the Michelson-Sivashinky equation which is alternative to the pole decomposition method [11].

Summary and Outlook

In the present extended abstract the evolution equation of reaction-diffusion type is

briefly derived for an observable that can be understood as the effective burned fraction. When stochastic fluctuations are removed, such equation reduces to the G-equation along the motion of the mean flame position, which suggests that approaches based on reaction-diffusion equations and G-equation are indeed complementary and they can be reconciled. Moreover, when a plane front is assumed, the Zimont & Lipatnikov model is recovered.

This promising approach has been adopted here to derive the Michelson-Sivashinky equation from a modelling point of view. The random process underlying the front motion involves the classical diffusion and a second effect that may be understood as a counter-dumping effect when compared with a lightly damped linear oscillator whose intensity of oscillations follows the Lorentzian function. This second effect can be linked to the mechanism that, a given pressure gradient, accelerates the light products more than the heavier reactants and generates counter-gradient diffusion and turbulent energy production [12]. This physical interpretation will be investigated in the future.

Acknowledgements

This research is supported by the Basque Government through the BERC 2014-2017 program and by the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness MINECO: BCAM Severo Ochoa accreditation SEV-2013-0323.

References

- [1] Pagnini, G., Akkermans, R.A.D., Buchmann, N., Mentrelli, A., “Reaction-diffusion equation and G-equation approaches reconciled in turbulent premixed combustion”, *Proceedings/Extended Abstract Book (6 pages) of the XXXVIII Meeting of the Italian Section of the Combustion Institute*, Lecce, Italy, September 20–23, 2015. ISBN 978-88-88104-25-6. Paper doi: 10.4405/38proci2015.I4.
- [2] Pagnini, G., Akkermans, R.A.D., Mentrelli, A., Buchmann, N., “Reacting fronts in random environments”, *Proceedings of the 14th International Conference on Non-Linear Analysis, Non-Linear Systems and Chaos (NOLASC '15)*, Rome, Italy, November 7–9, 2015. pp. 20–25. ISSN: 1790-5117; ISBN: 978-1-61804-345-0.
- [3] Pagnini, G., Bonomi, E., “Lagrangian formulation of turbulent premixed combustion”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 107:044503 (2011).
- [4] Zimont, V.L., Lipatnikov, A.N., “A numerical model of premixed turbulent combustion of gases”, *Chem. Phys. Reports* 14:993-1025 (1995).
- [5] Zimont, V.L., “Gas premixed combustion at high turbulence. Turbulent flame closure combustion model”, *Exp. Therm. Fluid Sci.* 21:179-186 (2000).

- [6] Sivashinsky, G.I., “Nonlinear analysis of hydrodynamic instability in laminar flames. I. Derivation of basic equations”, *Acta Astron.* 4:1177-1206 (1977).
- [7] Michelson, D.M., Sivashinsky, G.I., “Nonlinear analysis of hydrodynamic instability in laminar flames. II. Numerical experiments”, *Acta Astron.* 4:1207-1221 (1977).
- [8] Creta, F., Matalon, M., “Propagation of wrinkled turbulent flames in the context of hydrodynamic theory”, *J. Fluid Mech.* 680:225-264 (2011).
- [9] Oberlack, M., Wenzel, H., Peters, N., “On symmetries and averaging of the G-equation for premixed combustion”, *Combust. Theor. Model.* 5:363-383 (2001).
- [10] Sabelnikov, V.A., Lipatnikov, A.N., “Rigorous derivation of an unclosed mean G-equation for statistically 1D premixed turbulent flames”, *Int. J. Spray Dyn.* 2:301-324 (2010).
- [11] Thual, O., Frisch, U., Hénon, M., “Application of pole decomposition to an equation governing the dynamics of wrinkled flame fronts”, *J. Phys.* 46:1485-1494 (1985).
- [12] Pope, S., “Turbulent premixed flames”, *Ann. Rev. Fluid Mech.* 19:237-270 (1987).

doi: 10.4405/39proci2016.II3