

A C^0 INTERIOR PENALTY DISCONTINUOUS GALERKIN METHOD FOR FOURTH ORDER TOTAL VARIATION FLOW. II: EXISTENCE AND UNIQUENESS

C. BHANDARI¹, R.H.W. HOPPE², AND R. KUMAR³

ABSTRACT. We prove the existence and uniqueness of a solution of a C^0 Interior Penalty Discontinuous Galerkin (C^0 IPDG) method for the numerical solution of a fourth order total variation flow problem that has been developed in part I of the paper. The proof relies on a nonlinear version of the Lax-Milgram Lemma. It requires to establish that the nonlinear operator associated with the C^0 IPDG approximation is Lipschitz continuous and strongly monotone on bounded sets of the underlying finite element space.

1. INTRODUCTION

We consider the following fourth order total variation flow (TVF) problem:

$$(1.1a) \quad \frac{\partial w}{\partial \hat{t}} + \beta \hat{\Delta} \hat{\nabla} \cdot \frac{\hat{\nabla} w}{|\hat{\nabla} w|} = 0 \quad \text{in } \hat{Q} := \hat{\Omega} \times (0, \hat{T}),$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35K35, 35K55, 65M60.

Key words and phrases. C^0 interior penalty discontinuous Galerkin method, fourth order total variation flow, existence and uniqueness.

¹ Department of Mathematics, University of Houston, USA.

² Department of Mathematics, University of Augsburg, Germany, and Department of Mathematics, University of Houston, USA. The work of the authors has been supported by the NSF grant DMS-1520886.

³ Basque Center for Applied Mathematics, Bilbao, Spain.

$$(1.1b) \quad \mathbf{n}_{\hat{\Gamma}} \cdot \beta \frac{\hat{\nabla} w}{|\hat{\nabla} w|} = \mathbf{n}_{\hat{\Gamma}} \cdot \hat{\nabla} \hat{\nabla} \left(\hat{\nabla} \cdot \frac{\hat{\nabla} w}{|\hat{\nabla} w|} \right) = 0 \quad \text{on } \hat{\Sigma} := \hat{\Gamma} \times (0, \hat{T}),$$

$$(1.1c) \quad w(\cdot, 0) = w^0 \quad \text{in } \hat{\Omega}.$$

Here, $\hat{\Omega} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is a bounded domain with boundary $\hat{\Gamma} = \partial\hat{\Omega}$, $\hat{T} > 0$ is the final time, $\beta > 0$ is some constant, $\mathbf{n}_{\hat{\Gamma}}$ stands for the exterior unit normal at $\hat{\Gamma}$, and $w^0 \in L^2(\hat{\Omega})$ is some given initial data.

The fourth order equation (1.1a) has to be understood as the flow problem

$$-\frac{\hat{\partial} w}{\hat{\partial} t} \in \partial E_{H^{-1}}(w)$$

associated with the total variation- H^{-1} (TV- H^{-1}) minimization of the energy functional

$$(1.2) \quad E(w) = \beta \int_{\hat{\Omega}} |\hat{\nabla} w| \, dx, \quad \beta > 0,$$

where $\partial_{H^{-1}} E(w)$ is the H^{-1} subdifferential of E .

In fact, if we introduce an inner product on $H^{-1}(\hat{\Omega})$ according to

$$(w, z)_{-1, \hat{\Omega}} := (\hat{\nabla}(-\hat{\Delta}^{-1}w), \hat{\nabla}(-\hat{\Delta}^{-1}z))_{0, \hat{\Omega}},$$

the subdifferential

$$\partial_{H^{-1}} E(w) = \{v \in H^{-1}(\hat{\Omega}) \mid (v, z - w)_{-1, \hat{\Omega}} \leq E(z) - E(w) \text{ for all } z \in H^{-1}(\hat{\Omega})\}$$

reads as follows (cf., e.g., [6]):

$$\partial_{H^{-1}} E(w) = \{\hat{\Delta} \hat{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi} \mid \boldsymbol{\xi}(\hat{x}) \in \partial \Phi(\hat{\nabla} w(\hat{x}))\}.$$

Here, $\Phi(|\boldsymbol{\eta}|)$ and $\partial\Phi(|\boldsymbol{\eta}|)$ are given by

$$(1.3) \quad \Phi(\boldsymbol{\eta}) = \beta|\boldsymbol{\eta}|, \quad \partial\Phi(\boldsymbol{\eta}) = \begin{cases} \beta\boldsymbol{\eta}/|\boldsymbol{\eta}|, & \text{if } \boldsymbol{\eta} \neq \mathbf{0} \\ \{\boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid |\boldsymbol{\tau}| \leq \beta\}, & \text{if } \boldsymbol{\eta} = \mathbf{0} \end{cases}.$$

The fourth order total variation flow (TVF) problem (1.1a)-(1.1c) describes surface relaxation below the roughening temperature. We note that similar fourth order TVF problems occur in image recovery. For more details we refer to [2] and the references therein.

In the sequel, we consider the regularized fourth order TVF problem

$$(1.4a) \quad \frac{\hat{\partial}w}{\hat{\partial}\hat{t}} + \beta\hat{\Delta}\hat{\nabla} \cdot ((\delta^2 + |\hat{\nabla}w|^2)^{-1/2}\hat{\nabla}w) = 0 \quad \text{in } \hat{Q},$$

$$(1.4b) \quad \mathbf{n}_{\hat{\Gamma}} \cdot \beta(\delta^2 + |\hat{\nabla}w|^2)^{-1/2}\hat{\nabla}w = 0 \quad \text{on } \hat{\Sigma},$$

$$\mathbf{n}_{\hat{\Gamma}} \cdot \beta\hat{\nabla} \left(\hat{\nabla} \cdot (\delta^2 + |\hat{\nabla}w|^2)^{-1/2}\hat{\nabla}w \right) = 0 \quad \text{on } \hat{\Sigma},$$

$$(1.4c) \quad w(\cdot, 0) = w^0 \quad \text{in } \hat{\Omega},$$

where $\delta > 0$ is a regularization parameter. We further consider a scaling in both the time variable and the spatial variables according to

$$(1.5) \quad t = \delta\hat{t}, \quad x_i = \delta\hat{x}_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq 2.$$

Setting $T := \delta\hat{T}$, $\Omega := \delta\hat{\Omega}$, $\Gamma := \partial\Omega$, $Q := \Omega \times (0, T)$, $\Sigma := \Gamma \times (0, T)$, and $u^0(x) = w^0(\delta^{-1}x)$, as well as

$$(1.6) \quad \omega(\nabla u) := 1 + |\nabla u|^2,$$

the scaled and regularized fourth order TVF problem reads as follows

$$(1.7a) \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \beta \delta^2 \Delta \nabla \cdot (\omega(\nabla u)^{-1/2} \nabla u) = 0 \quad \text{in } Q,$$

$$(1.7b) \quad \mathbf{n}_\Gamma \cdot \beta \delta^2 (\omega(\nabla u)^{-1/2} \nabla u) = \mathbf{n}_\Gamma \cdot \beta \delta^2 \nabla \left(\nabla \cdot (\omega(\nabla u)^{-1/2} \nabla u) \right) = 0 \quad \text{on } \Sigma,$$

$$(1.7c) \quad u(\cdot, 0) = u^0 \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

The numerical solution of the regularized fourth order TVF problem with periodic boundary conditions has been considered in [7] based on a mixed formulation of the implicitly in time discretized problem. At each time-step, this amounts to the solution of two second order elliptic PDEs by standard Lagrangian finite elements with respect to a triangulation of the computational domain Ω . On the other hand, a C^0 Interior Penalty Discontinuous Galerkin (C^0 IPDG) method has been developed and implemented in [2]. The advantage of the C^0 IPDG approach is that it directly applies to the fourth order problem and thus only requires the numerical solution of one equation by using the same Lagrangian finite elements as in the mixed method.

The paper is organized as follows: After some basic notations from matrix analysis and Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces presented in section 2, in section 3 we recall the C^0 IPDG approximation of the implicitly in time discretized, regularized, and scaled fourth order TVF problem from [2]. Section 4 is devoted to a proof of the existence and uniqueness of a solution of the C^0 IPDG approximation by an application of the nonlinear version of the Lax-Milgram Lemma. In particular, this requires to show that the nonlinear operator associated with the C^0 IPDG approximation is Lipschitz continuous and strongly monotone on bounded subsets of the underlying function space.

2. BASIC NOTATIONS

For vectors $\underline{\mathbf{x}} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)^T, \underline{\mathbf{y}} = (y_1, \dots, y_n)^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and for matrices $\underline{\underline{\mathbf{A}}} = (a_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n, \underline{\underline{\mathbf{B}}} = (b_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ we denote by $\underline{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \underline{\mathbf{y}}$ and $\underline{\underline{\mathbf{A}}} : \underline{\underline{\mathbf{B}}}$ the Euclidean inner product $\underline{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \underline{\mathbf{y}} = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i$ and the Frobenius inner product $\underline{\underline{\mathbf{A}}} : \underline{\underline{\mathbf{B}}} = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} b_{ij}$. In particular, $|\underline{\mathbf{x}}| := (\underline{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \underline{\mathbf{x}})^{1/2}$ and $|\underline{\underline{\mathbf{A}}}| := (\underline{\underline{\mathbf{A}}} : \underline{\underline{\mathbf{A}}})^{1/2}$ refer to the Euclidean norm and the Frobenius norm, respectively.

We will further use standard notation from Lebesgue and Sobolev space theory (cf., e.g., [9]). In particular, for a bounded domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d, d \in \mathbb{N}$, we refer to $L^p(D), 1 \leq p < \infty$, as the Banach space of p-th power Lebesgue integrable functions on D with norm $\|\cdot\|_{0,p,D}$ and to $L^\infty(D)$ as the Banach space of essentially bounded functions on D with norm $\|\cdot\|_{0,\infty,D}$. Moreover, we denote by $W^{s,p}(D), s \in \mathbb{R}_+, 1 \leq p \leq \infty$, the Sobolev spaces with norms $\|\cdot\|_{s,p,D}$. We note that for $p = 2$ the spaces $L^2(D)$ and $W^{s,2}(D) = H^s(D)$ are Hilbert spaces with inner products $(\cdot, \cdot)_{0,2,D}$ and $(\cdot, \cdot)_{s,2,D}$. In the sequel, we will suppress the subindex 2 and write $(\cdot, \cdot)_{0,D}, (\cdot, \cdot)_{s,D}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{0,D}, \|\cdot\|_{s,D}$ instead of $(\cdot, \cdot)_{0,2,D}, (\cdot, \cdot)_{s,2,D}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{0,2,D}, \|\cdot\|_{s,2,D}$. The space $W_0^{s,p}(D)$ is the closure of C_0^∞ with respect to the $\|\cdot\|_{s,p,D}$ -norm. We refer to $W^{-s,p}(D), s \in \mathbb{R}_+, 1 \leq p \leq \infty$, as the dual of $W_0^{s,q}(D)$, where $1/p + 1/q = 1$. In particular, $H^{-s}(D) = (H_0^s(D))^*$.

3. C⁰ INTERIOR PENALTY DISCONTINUOUS GALERKIN APPROXIMATION

We perform a discretization in time of (1.7) with respect to a partition of the time interval $[0, T]$ into subintervals $[t_{m-1}, t_m], 1 \leq m \leq M, M \in \mathbb{N}$, of length $\Delta t := t_m - t_{m-1} = T/M$. Denoting by u^m some approximation of u at time t_m ,

for $1 \leq m \leq M$ we have to solve the problems

$$(3.1a) \quad u^m - u^{m-1} + \Delta t \beta \delta^2 \Delta \nabla \cdot (\omega(\nabla u^m)^{-1/2} \nabla u^m) = 0 \text{ in } \Omega,$$

$$(3.1b) \quad \mathbf{n}_\Gamma \cdot \beta \delta^2 (\omega(\nabla u^m)^{-1/2} \nabla u^m) = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma,$$

$$(3.1c) \quad \mathbf{n}_\Gamma \cdot \beta \delta^2 \nabla \left(\nabla \cdot (\omega(\nabla u^m)^{-1/2} \nabla u^m) \right) = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma.$$

We reformulate the second term on the left-hand side of (3.1a) according to

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta \nabla \cdot (\omega(\nabla u^m)^{-1/2} \nabla u^m) &= \nabla \cdot \nabla \left(\nabla \cdot (\omega(\nabla u^m)^{-1/2} \nabla u^m) \right) = \\ &\nabla \cdot \nabla \cdot \nabla (\omega(\nabla u^m)^{-1/2} \nabla u^m). \end{aligned}$$

As has been shown in [2], we have

$$(3.3) \quad \nabla (\omega(\nabla u^m)^{-1/2} \nabla u^m) = \omega(\nabla u^m)^{-3/2} \underline{\underline{\mathbf{M}}}(u^m) D^2 u^m,$$

where $D^2 u^m$ is the 2×2 matrix of second partial derivatives of u^m and the matrix

$\underline{\underline{\mathbf{M}}}(u^m)$ is given by

$$(3.4) \quad \underline{\underline{\mathbf{M}}}(u^m) := \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \left(\frac{\partial u^m}{\partial x_2}\right)^2 & -\frac{\partial u^m}{\partial x_1} \frac{\partial u^m}{\partial x_2} \\ -\frac{\partial u^m}{\partial x_1} \frac{\partial u^m}{\partial x_2} & 1 + \left(\frac{\partial u^m}{\partial x_1}\right)^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We note that the matrix $\underline{\underline{\mathbf{M}}}(u^m)$ is symmetric positive definite with the eigenvalues

$$(3.5) \quad \lambda_{\min}(\underline{\underline{\mathbf{M}}}(u^m)) = 1, \quad \lambda_{\max}(\underline{\underline{\mathbf{M}}}(u^m)) = 1 + |\nabla u^m|^2.$$

Setting

$$(3.6) \quad \underline{\underline{\mathbf{A}}}_1(v) := \omega(\nabla v)^{-3/2} \underline{\underline{\mathbf{M}}}(v),$$

the weak formulation of the implicitly in time discretized regularized fourth order TVF problem (3.1a)-(3.1c) reads: Find

$$u^m \in V := \{v \in H^2(\Omega) \mid \mathbf{n}_\Gamma \cdot \beta \delta^2 \omega (\nabla v)^{-1/2} \nabla v = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma\}$$

such that for all $v \in V$ it holds

$$(3.7) \quad (u^m - u^{m-1}, v)_{0,\Omega} + \Delta t \beta \delta^2 \int_{\Omega} \left(\underline{\underline{\mathbf{A}}}_1(u^m) D^2 u^m \right) : D^2 v \, dx = 0.$$

For the discretization in space we assume \mathcal{T}_h to be a geometrically conforming, simplicial triangulation of Ω . We denote by $\mathcal{E}_h(\Omega)$ and $\mathcal{E}_h(\Gamma)$ the set of edges of \mathcal{T}_h in the interior of Ω and on the boundary Γ , respectively, and set $\mathcal{E}_h := \mathcal{E}_h(\Omega) \cup \mathcal{E}_h(\Gamma)$. For $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$ and $E \in \mathcal{E}_h$ we denote by h_K and h_E the diameter of K and the length of E , and we set $h := \max(h_K \mid K \in \mathcal{T}_h)$. Due to the assumptions on \mathcal{T}_h there exist constants $0 < c_R \leq C_R$, $0 < c_Q \leq C_Q$, and $0 < c_S \leq C_S$ such that for all $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$ it holds

$$(3.8a) \quad c_R h_K \leq h_E \leq C_R h_K, \quad E \in \mathcal{E}_h(\partial K),$$

$$(3.8b) \quad c_Q h \leq h_K \leq C_Q h,$$

$$(3.8c) \quad c_S h_K^2 \leq \text{meas}(K) \leq C_S h_K^2.$$

Denoting by $P_k(T)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the linear space of polynomials of degree $\leq k$ on T , for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we define

$$(3.9) \quad V_h := \{v_h \in C^0(\bar{\Omega}) \mid v_h|_T \in P_k(T), \, T \in \mathcal{T}_h\},$$

and note that $V_h \subset H^1(\Omega)$, but $V_h \not\subset H^2(\Omega)$. Further, we introduce

$$(3.10) \quad \underline{\underline{\mathbf{M}}}_h := \{\underline{\underline{\mathbf{q}}}_h \in L^2(\Omega)^{2 \times 2} \mid \underline{\underline{\mathbf{q}}}_h|_K \in P_k(K)^{2 \times 2}, \, K \in \mathcal{T}_h\}$$

as the space of element-wise polynomial moment tensors.

For interior edges $E \in \mathcal{E}_h(\Omega)$ such that $E = K_+ \cap K_-$, $K_\pm \in \mathcal{T}_h$ and boundary edges on Γ we introduce the average and jump of ∇v_h according to

$$(3.11a) \quad \{\nabla v_h\}_E := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \left(\nabla v_h|_{E \cap K_+} + \nabla v_h|_{E \cap K_-} \right), & E \in \mathcal{E}_h(\Omega) \\ \nabla v_h|_E, & E \in \mathcal{E}_h(\Gamma) \end{cases},$$

$$(3.11b) \quad [\nabla v_h]_E := \begin{cases} \nabla v_h|_{E \cap K_+} - \nabla v_h|_{E \cap K_-}, & E \in \mathcal{E}_h(\Omega) \\ \nabla v_h|_E, & E \in \mathcal{E}_h(\Gamma) \end{cases}.$$

The average $\{\Delta v_h\}_E$ and jump $[\Delta v_h]_E$ are defined analogously. We further denote by \mathbf{n}_E the unit normal vector on E pointing in the direction from K_+ to K_- . In the sequel, for $E \in \mathcal{E}_h$ we will frequently use

$$(3.12a) \quad |\{v_h w_h\}_E| \leq 2\{|v_h|\}_E \{|w_h|\}_E,$$

$$(3.12b) \quad |[v_h w_h]_E| \leq 4\{|v_h|\}_E \{|w_h|\}_E.$$

In fact, for $E \in \mathcal{E}_h(\Omega)$ (3.12a) and (3.12b) follow from

$$|\{v_h w_h\}_E| \leq \frac{1}{2}(|v_h|_{E_+} |w_h|_{E_+} + |v_h|_{E_-} |w_h|_{E_-}) \leq 2\{|v_h|\}_E \{|w_h|\}_E,$$

$$|[v_h w_h]_E| \leq (|v_h|_{E_+} |w_h|_{E_+} + |v_h|_{E_-} |w_h|_{E_-}) \leq 4\{|v_h|\}_E \{|w_h|\}_E,$$

whereas it is obvious for $E \in \mathcal{E}_h(\Gamma)$. We will also use

$$(3.13) \quad \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h} [v_h w_h]_E = \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h} \{v_h\}_E [w_h]_E + \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h(\Omega)} [v_h]_E \{w_h\}_E.$$

Following the general approach [1] for DG approximations of second order elliptic boundary value problems, in [2] we have derived the following C⁰IPDG approximation of (3.7): Find $u_h^m \in V_h$ such that for all $v_h \in V_h$ it holds

$$(3.14) \quad (u_h^m, v_h)_{0,\Omega} + \Delta t \beta \delta^2 a_h^{IP}(u_h^m, v_h; u_h^m) = (u_h^{m-1}, v_h)_{0,\Omega}, \quad v_h \in V_h.$$

Here, for $z_h \in V_h$ the mesh-dependent semilinear C⁰IPDG form $a_h^{IP}(\cdot, \cdot; z_h) : V_h \times V_h \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{aligned} a_h^{IP}(u_h, v_h; z_h) := & \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} (\underline{\mathbf{A}}_1(z_h) D^2 u_h, D^2 v_h)_{0,K} - \\ & \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h} (\mathbf{n}_E \cdot \{\underline{\mathbf{A}}_2(z_h) D^2 u_h\}_E \mathbf{n}_E, \mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\omega(\nabla z_h)^{-1/4} \nabla v_h]_E)_{0,E} - \\ & \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h} (\mathbf{n}_E \cdot \{\underline{\mathbf{A}}_2(z_h) D^2 v_h\}_E \mathbf{n}_E, \mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\omega(\nabla z_h)^{-1/4} \nabla u_h]_E)_{0,E} + \\ & \alpha \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h} h_E^{-1} (\mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\omega(\nabla z_h)^{-1/4} \nabla u_h]_E, \mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\omega(\nabla z_h)^{-1/4} \nabla v_h]_E)_{0,E}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha > 0$ is a penalty parameter and

$$(3.16) \quad \underline{\mathbf{A}}_2(z_h) := \omega(\nabla z_h)^{-5/4} \underline{\mathbf{M}}(z_h).$$

4. EXISTENCE AND UNIQUENESS OF A SOLUTION OF THE C⁰IPDG

APPROXIMATION

The existence and uniqueness of a solution of the C⁰IPDG approximation (3.14) can be shown using the following nonlinear analogue of the Lax-Milgram Lemma.

Theorem 4.1. *Let V be a Hilbert space with inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)_V$ and associated norm $\|\cdot\|_V$ and let V^* be the dual space with norm $\|\cdot\|_{V^*}$. We denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{V^*, V}$ the dual pairing between V^* and V . Let $A : V \rightarrow V^*$ be a nonlinear operator with*

$A(0) = 0$ that is Lipschitz continuous on $B(0, R) := \{v \in V \mid \|v\|_V \leq R\}$, $R > 0$,

i.e., there exists a constant $\Gamma(R) > 0$ such that for all $v, w \in V$ it holds

$$(4.1) \quad \|A(v) - A(w)\|_{V_h^*} \leq \Gamma(R) \|v - w\|_V.$$

Moreover, assume that $A : V \rightarrow V^*$ is strongly monotone on $B(0, R)$, i.e., there

exists a constant $\gamma(R) > 0$ such that for all $v, w \in B(0, R)$ it holds

$$(4.2) \quad \langle A(v) - A(w), v - w \rangle_{V^*, V} \geq \gamma(R) \|v - w\|_V^2.$$

Then, for any $\ell \in V^*$ with

$$(4.3) \quad \|\ell\|_{V^*} \leq \frac{\Gamma(R)^2}{\gamma(R)} \left(1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{\gamma(R)^2}{\Gamma(R)^2}}\right) R,$$

the nonlinear equation

$$(4.4) \quad Au = \ell$$

has a unique solution $u \in B(0, R)$.

Proof. We refer to $\tau : V^* \rightarrow V$ as the Riesz mapping, i.e.,

$$(4.5) \quad \langle \ell, v \rangle_{V^*, V} = (\tau \ell, v)_V, \quad \ell \in V^*, \quad v \in V.$$

Then, $u \in B(0, R)$ is a solution of (4.4) if and only if u is a fixed point of the nonlinear map $T : V \rightarrow V$ defined by means of

$$T(v) := v - \rho(\tau A(v) - \tau \ell), \quad v \in V, \quad \rho > 0.$$

Due to (4.5) we have

$$(4.6) \quad \|T(v) - T(w)\|_V^2 =$$

$$\|v - w\|_V^2 - 2\rho \langle A(v) - A(w), v - w \rangle_{V^*, V} + \rho^2 \|A(v) - A(w)\|_{V^*}^2.$$

Now, using (4.1) and (4.2) it follows that

$$\|T(v) - T(w)\|_V^2 \leq q\|v - w\|_V^2, \quad q := 1 - 2\rho\gamma(R) + \rho^2\Gamma(R)^2.$$

For $\rho \in (0, 2\gamma(R)/\Gamma(R)^2)$ we have $q < 1$ and hence, T is a contraction on $B(0, R)$.

We note that q attains its minimum $q_{min} = 1 - \gamma(R)^2/\Gamma(R)^2$ for $\rho_{min} = \gamma(R)/\Gamma(R)^2$.

Moreover, choosing $w = 0$ in (4.6) and observing $A(0) = 0$, we have

$$\|T(v) - T(0)\|_V^2 \leq q_{min}\|v\|_V^2,$$

and hence, for $v \in B(0, R)$ it holds

$$\|T(v)\|_V \leq \|T(v) - T(0)\|_V + \|T(0)\|_V \leq \sqrt{q_{min}}R + \rho\|\ell\|_{V^*}.$$

Consequently, we have

$$(4.7) \quad \|T(v)\|_V \leq R,$$

if $\ell \in V^*$ satisfies (4.3). We deduce from (4.7) that $T(B(0, R)) \subset B(0, R)$. The Banach fixed point theorem asserts the existence and uniqueness of a fixed point in $B(0, R)$. \square

In order to apply the previous result to the C^0 IPDG method (3.14), we introduce a mesh-dependent semi-norm $|\cdot|_{2,h,\Omega}$ and weighted norm $\|\cdot\|_{2,h,\Omega}$ on V_h according to

$$(4.8a) \quad |v_h|_{2,h,\Omega} := \left(\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_K D^2 v_h : D^2 v_h \, dx + \alpha \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h} h_E^{-1} \int_E |\mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\nabla v_h]_E|^2 \, ds \right)^{1/2},$$

$$(4.8b) \quad \|v_h\|_{2,h,\Omega} := \left(\|v_h\|_{0,\Omega}^2 + |v_h|_{2,h,\Omega}^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

We further note that (3.14) can be written as the nonlinear equation

$$(4.9) \quad A_h^{DG} u_h^m = \ell_h,$$

where the nonlinear operator $A_h^{DG} : V_h \rightarrow V_h^*$ and the linear functional $\ell_h \in V_h^*$ are given by

$$(4.10) \quad \langle A_h^{DG} v_h, w_h \rangle_{V_h^*, V_h} := (v_h, w_h)_{0,\Omega} + \Delta t \beta \delta^2 a_h^{DG}(v_h, w_h; v_h), \quad v_h, w_h \in V_h,$$

$$(4.11) \quad \ell_h(v_h) := (u_h^{m-1}, v_h)_{0,\Omega}, \quad v_h \in V_h.$$

For the proof of Lipschitz continuity on bounded sets and strong monotonicity of the nonlinear operator A_h^{DG} we need the inverse estimates (cf., e.g., [3, 5]):

For $p \in [1, \infty]$ and $\ell, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ it holds

$$(4.12) \quad \|v_h\|_{m,p,K} \leq \frac{C_{inv}}{\text{meas}(K)^{\max(0, \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})} h_K^{m-\ell}} \|v_h\|_{\ell,K}, \quad v_h \in V_h,$$

where C_{inv} is a positive constant that only depends on k, ℓ, m, p and the shape regularity of the triangulation. We further need the trace inequalities (cf., e.g., [8, 10]): For $p \in [1, \infty]$, $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$ it holds

$$(4.13a) \quad \|\nabla v_h\|_{m,p,\partial K} \leq C_T h_K^{-1/p} \|\nabla v_h\|_{m,p,K}, \quad v_h \in V_h,$$

$$(4.13b) \quad \|D^2 v_h\|_{m,p,\partial K} \leq C_T h_K^{-1/p} \|D^2 v_h\|_{m,p,K}, \quad v_h \in V_h,$$

where C_T is a positive constant that only depends on k, m, p and the shape regularity of the triangulation. Moreover, we will frequently use the following Poincaré-Friedrichs inequality for piecewise H^2 -functions (cf., e.g., [4])

$$(4.14) \quad \|\nabla v_h\|_{0,\Omega} \leq C_{PF} |v_h|_{2,h,\Omega}, \quad v_h \in V_h,$$

where $C_{PF} > 0$ is a constant that only depends on Ω and the shape regularity of the triangulation.

In the sequel, we will frequently use some basic estimates for the weight function $\omega(\nabla v_h)$. In particular, for $\beta > 0$ and $v \in V_h$ it holds

$$(4.15a) \quad \omega(\nabla v)^{-\beta} = (1 + |\nabla v|^2)^{-\beta} \leq 1,$$

$$(4.15b) \quad \begin{aligned} \omega(\nabla v)^{-(\beta+1)} |\nabla v| &\leq \omega(\nabla v)^{-(\beta+1)} (1 + |\nabla v|^2)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \omega(\nabla v)^{-(\beta+1/2)} \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for $v, w \in V_h$ and $\xi(s) := w + s(v - w)$, $s \in [0, 1]$, it holds

$$(4.16a) \quad \omega(\nabla v)^{-\beta} - \omega(\nabla w)^{-\beta} = -2\beta \int_0^1 \omega(\nabla \xi(s))^{-\beta-1} \nabla \xi(s) \cdot \nabla(v - w) \, ds,$$

$$(4.16b) \quad \begin{aligned} \omega(\nabla v)^{-\beta} \underline{\underline{\mathbf{M}}}(v) - \omega(\nabla w)^{-\beta} \underline{\underline{\mathbf{M}}}(w) &= \int_0^1 \omega(\nabla \xi(s))^{-\beta} \underline{\underline{\mathbf{F}}}(\xi(s); v - w) \, ds - \\ &\quad 2\beta \int_0^1 \omega(\nabla \xi(s))^{-\beta-1} \nabla \xi(s) \cdot \nabla(v - w) \underline{\underline{\mathbf{M}}}(\xi(s)) \, ds, \end{aligned}$$

where the matrix $\underline{\underline{\mathbf{F}}}(v; w)$, $v, w \in V_h$ is given by

$$(4.17) \quad \underline{\underline{\mathbf{F}}}(v; w) := \begin{pmatrix} 2 \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_2} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_2} & \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_1} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_2} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_2} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_1} \\ \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_1} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_2} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_2} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_1} & 2 \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_1} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad v, w \in V_h.$$

An easy computation yields

$$(4.18) \quad |\underline{\underline{\mathbf{F}}}(v; w)|^2 \leq 5 |\nabla v|^2 |\nabla w|^2.$$

It follows from (4.15b) and (4.16a) that

$$(4.19a) \quad |\omega(\nabla v)^{-\beta} - \omega(\nabla w)^{-\beta}| \leq 2\beta |\nabla(v - w)|,$$

whereas in view of (3.5),(4.15b),(4.16b), and (4.18) we have

$$(4.19b) \quad |\omega(\nabla v)^{-\beta} \underline{\underline{\mathbf{M}}}(v) - \omega(\nabla w)^{-\beta} \underline{\underline{\mathbf{M}}}(w)| \leq (2\beta + \sqrt{5}) |\nabla(v - w)|,$$

We will first show that the nonlinear operator A_h^{DG} is Lipschitz continuous on the ball

$$(4.20) \quad B_h(0, R) := \{v_h \in V_h \mid \|v_h\|_{2,h,\Omega} \leq R\}.$$

Theorem 4.2. *The nonlinear operator A_h^{DG} is Lipschitz continuous on the ball $B_h(0, R)$. In particular, there exists $\Gamma(h, R) > 0$ such that*

$$(4.21) \quad \|A_h^{DG} v_h - A_h^{DG} w_h\|_{V_h^*} \leq \Gamma(h, R) \|v_h - w_h\|_{2,h,\Omega}, \quad v_h, w_h \in B_h(0, R).$$

Proof. For $v_h, w_h \in B_h(0, R)$ we set $\xi_h := v_h - w_h$. In view of the definition (4.10) of the nonlinear operator A_h^{DG} we have

$$(4.22) \quad \|A_h^{DG} v_h - A_h^{DG} w_h\|_{V_h^*} = \sup_{\|z_h\|_{2,h,\Omega} \leq 1} |\langle A_h^{DG} v_h - A_h^{DG} w_h, z_h \rangle_{V_h^*, V_h}| = \\ \sup_{\|z_h\|_{2,h,\Omega} \leq 1} |(\xi_h, z_h)_{0,\Omega} + \Delta t \beta \delta^2 \left(a_h^{DG}(v_h, z_h; v_h) - a_h^{DG}(w_h, z_h; w_h) \right)|.$$

According to the definition (3.15) of the semilinear form $a_h^{DG}(\cdot, \cdot; \cdot)$ we find

$$(4.23) \quad a_h^{DG}(v_h, z_h; v_h) - a_h^{DG}(w_h, z_h; w_h) =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_K \left(\underline{\mathbf{A}}_1(v_h) D^2 v_h - \underline{\mathbf{A}}_1(w_h) D^2 w_h \right) : D^2 z_h \, dx \\
& - \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h} \int_E \left(\mathbf{n}_E \cdot \{ \underline{\mathbf{A}}_2(v_h) D^2 v_h \}_E \, \mathbf{n}_E \, \mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\omega(\nabla v_h)^{-1/4} \nabla z_h]_E - \right. \\
& \quad \left. \mathbf{n}_E \cdot \{ \underline{\mathbf{A}}_2(w_h) D^2 w_h \}_E \, \mathbf{n}_E \, \mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\omega(\nabla w_h)^{-1/4} \nabla z_h]_E \right) ds \\
& - \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h} \int_E \left(\mathbf{n}_E \cdot \{ \underline{\mathbf{A}}_2(v_h) D^2 z_h \}_E \, \mathbf{n}_E \, \mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\omega(\nabla v_h)^{-1/4} \nabla v_h]_E - \right. \\
& \quad \left. \mathbf{n}_E \cdot \{ \underline{\mathbf{A}}_2(w_h) D^2 z_h \}_E \, \mathbf{n}_E \, \mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\omega(\nabla w_h)^{-1/4} \nabla w_h]_E \right) ds \\
& + \alpha \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h} h_E^{-1} \int_E \left(\mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\omega(\nabla v_h)^{-1/4} \nabla v_h]_E \, \mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\omega(\nabla v_h)^{-1/4} \nabla z_h]_E - \right. \\
& \quad \left. \mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\omega(\nabla w_h)^{-1/4} \nabla w_h]_E \, \mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\omega(\nabla w_h)^{-1/4} \nabla z_h]_E \right) ds.
\end{aligned}$$

We will estimate the four terms on the right-hand side of (4.23) separately.

(i) For the first term on the right-hand side of (4.23) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_K \left(\underline{\mathbf{A}}_1(v_h) D^2 v_h - \underline{\mathbf{A}}_1(w_h) D^2 w_h \right) : D^2 z_h \, dx = \\
& \underbrace{\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_K \underline{\mathbf{A}}_1(v_h) D^2 \xi_h : D^2 z_h \, dx}_{= I_1} + \underbrace{\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_K \left(\underline{\mathbf{A}}_1(v_h) - \underline{\mathbf{A}}_1(w_h) \right) D^2 w_h : D^2 z_h \, dx}_{= I_2}.
\end{aligned}$$

In view of (3.5), (3.6), and (4.15a) and using Hölder's inequality as well as the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we get the following upper bound for I_1 :

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.24) \quad |I_1| & \leq \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_K |D^2 \xi_h| |D^2 z_h| \, dx \leq \\
& \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \left(\int_K |D^2 \xi_h|^2 \, dx \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_K |D^2 z_h|^2 \, dx \right)^{1/2} \leq \\
& \left(\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \|D^2 \xi_h\|_{0,K}^2 \, dx \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \|D^2 z_h\|_{0,K}^2 \, dx \right)^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Likewise, using (3.8b),(3.8c),(4.16b), the inverse inequality (4.12), the Poincaré-Friedrichs inequality for piecewise H^2 -functions (4.14), and observing $\|D^2 w_h\|_{0,K} \leq \|w_h\|_{2,h,\Omega} \leq R, K \in \mathcal{T}_h$, we can estimate I_2 from above as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_2| &\leq \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_K |\underline{\mathbf{A}}_1(v_h) - \underline{\mathbf{A}}_1(w_h)| |D^2 w_h| |D^2 z_h| \, dx \leq \\
&(3 + \sqrt{5}) \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_K |\nabla \xi_h| |D^2 w_h| |D^2 z_h| \, dx \leq \\
&(3 + \sqrt{5}) \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \|\nabla \xi_h\|_{0,\infty,K} \left(\int_K |D^2 w_h|^2 \, dx \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_K |D^2 z_h|^2 \, dx \right)^{1/2} \leq \\
&(3 + \sqrt{5}) c_S^{-1/2} C_{inv} R \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} h_K^{-1} \|\nabla \xi_h\|_{0,K} \|D^2 z_h\|_{0,K} \leq \\
&(3 + \sqrt{5}) c_Q^{-1} c_S^{-1/2} C_{inv} R h^{-1} \left(\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \|\nabla \xi_h\|_{0,K}^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \|D^2 z_h\|_{0,K}^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq (3 + \sqrt{5}) c_Q^{-1} c_S^{-1/2} C_{inv} C_{PF} R h^{-1} |\xi_h|_{2,h,\Omega} \left(\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \|D^2 z_h\|_{0,K}^2 \right)^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, setting $C_A^{(1)} := (3 + \sqrt{5}) c_Q^{-1} c_S^{-1/2} C_{inv} C_{PF} R$, we thus have

$$(4.25) \quad |I_2| \leq C_A^{(1)} h^{-1} |\xi_h|_{2,h,\Omega} \left(\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \|D^2 z_h\|_{0,K}^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

(ii) Setting $\tilde{\omega}(\nabla v_h, \nabla w_h) := \omega(\nabla v_h)^{-1/4} - \omega(\nabla w_h)^{-1/4}$, the second term on the right-hand side of (4.23) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h} \int_E \left(\mathbf{n}_E \cdot \{ \underline{\mathbf{A}}_2(v_h) D^2 v_h \}_E \, \mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\omega(\nabla v_h)^{-1/4} \nabla z_h]_E - \right. \\
&\quad \left. \mathbf{n}_E \cdot \{ \underline{\mathbf{A}}_2(w_h) D^2 w_h \}_E \, \mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\omega(\nabla w_h)^{-1/4} \nabla z_h]_E \right) ds =
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \underbrace{\sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h} \int_E \mathbf{n}_E \cdot \{\underline{\mathbf{A}}_2(v_h) D^2 \xi_h\}_E \mathbf{n}_E \mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\omega(\nabla v_h)^{-1/4} \nabla z_h]_E ds}_{= II_1} + \\
& \underbrace{\sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h} \int_E \mathbf{n}_E \cdot \{(\underline{\mathbf{A}}_2(v_h) - \underline{\mathbf{A}}_2(w_h)) D^2 w_h\}_E \mathbf{n}_E \mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\omega(\nabla v_h)^{-1/4} \nabla z_h]_E ds}_{= II_2} + \\
& \underbrace{\sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h} \int_E \mathbf{n}_E \cdot \{\underline{\mathbf{A}}_2(w_h) D^2 w_h\}_E \mathbf{n}_E \mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\tilde{\omega}(\nabla v_h, \nabla w_h) \nabla z_h]_E ds}_{= II_3}.
\end{aligned}$$

Setting $E_1 := E_+$, $E_2 := E_-$, for $E \in \mathcal{E}_h(\Omega)$, and using (3.5), (3.8a), (3.16), (4.15a), and the trace inequality (4.13b), for the first term II_1 we find

$$\begin{aligned}
|II_1| & \leq \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h} \int_E |\{D^2 \xi_h\}_E| |\mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\nabla z_h]_E| ds \leq \\
& \frac{1}{2} \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h(\Omega)} \int_E \sum_{i=1}^2 |D^2 \xi_h|_{E_i} |\mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\nabla z_h]_E| ds + \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h(\Gamma)} \int_E |D^2 \xi_h| |\mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\nabla z_h]_E| ds \leq \\
& \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h(\Omega)} \sum_{i=1}^2 h_E^{1/2} \left(\int_E |D^2 \xi_h|_{E_i}^2 ds \right)^{1/2} h_E^{-1/2} \left(\int_E |\mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\nabla z_h]_E|^2 ds \right)^{1/2} + \\
& \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h(\Gamma)} \left(h_E^{1/2} \int_E |D^2 \xi_h|^2 ds \right)^{1/2} h_E^{-1/2} \left(\int_E |\mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\nabla z_h]_E|^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \leq \\
& C_R^{1/2} \left(\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} h_K \|D^2 \xi_h\|_{0,\partial K}^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h} h_E^{-1} \int_E |\mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\nabla z_h]_E|^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \leq \\
& C_R^{1/2} C_T \left(\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \|D^2 \xi_h\|_{0,K}^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h} h_E^{-1} \int_E |\mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\nabla z_h]_E|^2 ds \right)^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

We thus have

$$(4.26) \quad |II_1| \leq C_A^{(2)} \left(\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \|D^2 \xi_h\|_{0,K}^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h} h_E^{-1} \int_E |\mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\nabla z_h]_E|^2 ds \right)^{1/2},$$

where $C_A^{(2)} := C_R^{1/2} C_T$. In a similar way, using (3.5), (3.8a)-(3.8c), (3.16), (4.16b), the inverse inequality (4.12), the trace inequality (4.13a), the Poincaré-Friedrichs inequality for piecewise H^2 -functions (4.14), and observing $\|D^2 w_h\|_{0,K} \leq \|w_h\|_{2,h,\Omega} \leq$

$R, K \in \mathcal{T}_h$, the second term II_2 can be estimated from above according to

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.27) \quad |II_2| &\leq \left(\frac{5}{2} + \sqrt{5}\right) C_R^{1/2} \left(\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \|\nabla \xi_h\|_{0,\infty,K}^2 h_K \int_{\partial K} |D^2 w_h|^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \\
&\quad \left(\sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h} h_E^{-1} \int_E |\mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\nabla z_h]_E|^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \leq \\
&\quad \left(\frac{5}{2} + \sqrt{5}\right) C_R^{1/2} C_T \left(\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \|\nabla \xi_h\|_{0,\infty,K}^2 \int_K |D^2 w_h|^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \\
&\quad \left(\sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h} h_E^{-1} \int_E |\mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\nabla z_h]_E|^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \leq \\
&\quad \left(\frac{5}{2} + \sqrt{5}\right) c_Q^{-1} c_S^{-1} C_{inv} C_R^{1/2} C_T R h^{-1} \left(\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \|\nabla \xi_h\|_{0,K}^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
&\quad \left(\sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h} h_E^{-1} \int_E |\mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\nabla z_h]_E|^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \leq \\
&\leq C_A^{(3)} h^{-1} |\xi_h|_{2,h,\Omega} \left(\sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h} h_E^{-1} \int_E |\mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\nabla z_h]_E|^2 ds \right)^{1/2},
\end{aligned}$$

where $C_A^{(3)} := (\frac{5}{2} + \sqrt{5}) c_Q^{-1} c_S^{-1} C_{inv} C_{PF} C_R^{1/2} C_T R$. In a similar way, for II_3 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
|II_3| &\leq \\
&\quad C_R^{1/2} \left(\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \|\nabla z_h\|_{0,\infty,K}^2 h_K \int_{\partial K} |D^2 w_h|^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h} h_E^{-1} \int_E |\mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\nabla \xi_h]_E|^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \leq \\
&\quad C_R^{1/2} C_T \left(\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \|\nabla z_h\|_{0,\infty,K}^2 \int_K |D^2 w_h|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h} h_E^{-1} \int_E |\mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\nabla \xi_h]_E|^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \leq \\
&\quad c_Q^{-1} c_S^{-1} C_{inv} C_{PF} C_R^{1/2} C_T R h^{-1} |z_h|_{2,h,\Omega} \left(\sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h} h_E^{-1} \int_E |\mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\nabla \xi_h]_E|^2 ds \right)^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

and hence,

$$(4.28) \quad |II_3| \leq C_A^{(4)} h^{-1} |z_h|_{2,h,\Omega} \left(\sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h} h_E^{-1} \int_E |\mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\nabla \xi_h]_E|^2 ds \right)^{1/2},$$

where $C_A^{(4)} := c_Q^{-1} c_S^{-1} C_{inv} C_{PF} C_R^{1/2} C_T R$.

(iii) For the third term on the right-hand side of (4.23) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h} \int_E \left(\mathbf{n}_E \cdot \{ \underline{\underline{\mathbf{A}}}_2(v_h) D^2 z_h \}_E \mathbf{n}_E \mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\omega(\nabla v_h)^{-1/4} \nabla v_h]_E - \right. \\
& \left. \mathbf{n}_E \cdot \{ \underline{\underline{\mathbf{A}}}_2(w_h) D^2 z_h \}_E \mathbf{n}_E \mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\omega(\nabla w_h)^{-1/4} \nabla w_h]_E \right) ds = \\
& \underbrace{\sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h} \int_E \mathbf{n}_E \cdot \{ (\underline{\underline{\mathbf{A}}}_2(v_h) - \underline{\underline{\mathbf{A}}}_2(w_h)) D^2 z_h \}_E \mathbf{n}_E \mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\omega(\nabla v_h)^{-1/4} \nabla v_h]_E ds}_{= III_1} + \\
& \underbrace{\sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h} \int_E \mathbf{n}_E \cdot \{ \underline{\underline{\mathbf{A}}}_2(w_h) D^2 z_h \}_E \mathbf{n}_E \mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\tilde{\omega}(\nabla v_h, \nabla w_h) \nabla v_h]_E ds}_{= III_2} + \\
& \underbrace{\sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h} \int_E \mathbf{n}_E \cdot \{ \underline{\underline{\mathbf{A}}}_2(w_h) D^2 z_h \}_E \mathbf{n}_E \mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\omega(\nabla v_h)^{-1/4} \nabla \xi_h]_E ds}_{= III_3}.
\end{aligned}$$

The terms III_1 , III_2 , and III_3 can be estimated from above in much the same way as the corresponding terms for II . We obtain

$$(4.29) \quad |III_1| \leq C_A^{(5)} h^{-1} |\xi_h|_{2,h,\Omega} \left(\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_K |D^2 z_h|^2 ds \right)^{1/2},$$

where $C_A^{(5)} := (\frac{5}{2} + \sqrt{5}) c_Q^{-1} c_S^{-1} C_{inv} C_{PF} C_R^{1/2} C_T R$, and

$$(4.30a) \quad |III_2| \leq C_A^{(6)} h^{-1} |\xi_h|_{2,h,\Omega} \left(\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_K |D^2 z_h|^2 ds \right)^{1/2},$$

$$(4.30b) \quad |III_3| \leq C_A^{(7)} h^{-1} |\xi_h|_{2,h,\Omega} \left(\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_K |D^2 z_h|^2 ds \right)^{1/2},$$

where $C_A^{(6)} = C_A^{(7)} := c_Q^{-1} c_S^{-1} C_{inv} C_{PF} C_R^{1/2} C_T R$.

(iv) Finally, for the fourth term on the right-hand side of (4.23) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.31) \quad & \alpha \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h} h_E^{-1} \int_E \left(\mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\omega(\nabla v_h)^{-1/4} \nabla v_h]_E \mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\omega(\nabla v_h)^{-1/4} \nabla z_h]_E - \right. \\
& \quad \left. \mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\omega(\nabla w_h)^{-1/4} \nabla w_h]_E \mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\omega(\nabla w_h)^{-1/4} \nabla z_h]_E \right) ds = \\
& \underbrace{\alpha \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h} h_E^{-1} \int_E \mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\omega(\nabla v_h)^{-1/4} \nabla \xi_h]_E \mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\omega(\nabla v_h)^{-1/4} \nabla z_h]_E ds}_{= IV_1} + \\
& \underbrace{\alpha \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h} h_E^{-1} \int_E \mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\omega(\nabla w_h)^{-1/4} \nabla w_h]_E \mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\tilde{\omega}(\nabla v_h, \nabla w_h) \nabla z_h]_E ds}_{= IV_2} + \\
& \underbrace{\alpha \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h} h_E^{-1} \int_E \mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\tilde{\omega}(\nabla v_h, \nabla w_h) \nabla w_h]_E \mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\omega(\nabla w_h)^{-1/4} \nabla z_h]_E ds}_{= IV_3}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using (3.8a), (4.15a), the trace inequality (4.13a), and the Poincaré-Friedrichs inequality for piecewise H^2 -functions (4.14), for IV_1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
|IV_1| & \leq \alpha \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h} h_E^{-1} \int_E |\mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\nabla \xi_h]_E| |\mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\nabla z_h]_E| ds \leq \\
& \alpha \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h} h_E^{-1/2} \left(\int_E |\mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\nabla \xi_h]_E|^2 ds \right)^{1/2} h_E^{-1/2} \left(\int_E |\mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\nabla z_h]_E|^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \leq \\
& C_A^{(8)} \left(\sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h} h_E^{-1} \int_E |\mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\nabla \xi_h]_E|^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h} \int_E h_E^{-1} |\mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\nabla z_h]_E|^2 ds \right)^{1/2},
\end{aligned}$$

where $C_A^{(8)} := \alpha$. Setting $K_1 := K_+$ and $K_2 := K_-$ for $E \in \mathcal{E}_h(\Omega)$, $E = K_+ \cap K_-$, and $K_1 = K_2 = K$ for $E \in \mathcal{E}_h(\Gamma)$, $E \in \mathcal{E}_h(K \cap \Gamma)$, the term IV_2 can be estimated from above as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
|IV_2| & \leq \alpha \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h} \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\nabla \xi_h\|_{0,\infty,K_i} \left(\int_E h_E^{-1} |\mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\nabla w_h]_E|^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \\
& \quad \left(\int_E h_E^{-1} |\mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\nabla z_h]_E|^2 ds \right)^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using (3.8b),(3.8c), the inverse inequality (4.12), and the Poincaré-Friedrichs inequality for piecewise H²-functions (4.14), for IV_1 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\nabla \xi_h\|_{0,\infty,K_i} &\leq c_R^{-1} c_S^{-1} C_{inv} h^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\nabla \xi_h\|_{0,K_i} \leq \\ 2c_R^{-1} c_S^{-1} C_{inv} h^{-1} \|\nabla \xi_h\|_{0,\Omega} &\leq 2c_R^{-1} c_S^{-1} C_{inv} C_{PF} h^{-1} |\xi_h|_{2,h,\Omega}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, observing $\left(\sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h} h_E^{-1} \int_E |\mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\nabla w_h]_E|^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \leq \|w_h\|_{2,h,\Omega} \leq R$, we obtain

$$(4.32) \quad |IV_2| \leq C_A^{(9)} h^{-1} |\xi_h|_{2,h,\Omega} \left(\int_E h_E^{-1} |\mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\nabla z_h]_E|^2 ds \right)^{1/2}.$$

where $C_A^{(9)} := 2\alpha c_R^{-1} c_S^{-1} C_{inv} C_{PF} R$. In the same way we get

$$(4.33) \quad |IV_3| \leq C_A^{(10)} h^{-1} |\xi_h|_{2,h,\Omega} \left(\int_E h_E^{-1} |\mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\nabla z_h]_E|^2 ds \right)^{1/2}.$$

where $C_A^{(10)} := C_A^{(9)}$.

Setting $C_A := \sum_{i=1}^{10} C_A^{(i)}$, it follows from (4.22)-(4.33) that

$$|\langle A_h^{DG} v_h - A_h^{DG} w_h, z_h \rangle_{V_h^*, V_h}| \leq \max(1, \beta \Delta t \delta^2 C_A h^{-1}) \|\xi_h\|_{2,h,\Omega} \|z_h\|_{2,h,\Omega},$$

which implies (4.21) with $\Gamma(h, R) := \max(1, \beta \Delta t \delta^2 C_A h^{-1})$. \square

Theorem 4.3. *Under the assumption that there exist constants $0 < \kappa \ll 1$ and*

$C_\Delta > 0$ such that

$$(4.34) \quad \beta \Delta t \delta^2 \leq C_\Delta h^{4+\kappa},$$

for sufficiently small $0 < h < 1$ there exists $\gamma(h, R) > 0$ such that for $v_h, w_h \in$

$B_h(0, R)$ it holds

$$(4.35) \quad \langle A_H^{DG} v_h - A_h^{DG} w_h, v_h - w_h \rangle_{V_h^*, V_h} \geq \gamma(h, R) \|v_h - w_h\|_{2,h,\Omega}^2.$$

Proof. For $v_h, w_h \in B_h(0, R)$ we set $\xi_h := v_h - w_h$. Taking the definition (4.10) of the nonlinear operator A_h^{DG} into account, we have

$$(4.36) \quad \langle A_H^{DG} v_h - A_h^{DG} w_h, \xi_h \rangle_{V_h^*, V_h} = \|\xi_h\|_{0,\Omega}^2 + \beta \Delta t \delta^2 \left(a_h^{DG}(v_h, \xi_h; v_h) - a_h^{DG}(w_h, \xi_h; w_h) \right).$$

Recalling the definitions (3.6), (3.16) of $\underline{\mathbf{A}}_1$ and $\underline{\mathbf{A}}_2$, for the second term on the right-hand side of (4.36) it follows that

$$(4.37) \quad a_h^{DG}(v_h, \xi_h; v_h) - a_h^{DG}(w_h, \xi_h; w_h) = \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_K \left(\underline{\mathbf{A}}_1(v_h) D^2 v_h - \underline{\mathbf{A}}_1(w_h) D^2 w_h \right) : D^2 \xi_h \, dx \\ - \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h} \int_E \left(\mathbf{n}_E \cdot \{ \underline{\mathbf{A}}_2(v_h) D^2 v_h \}_E \, \mathbf{n}_E \, \mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\omega(\nabla v_h)^{-1/4} \nabla \xi_h]_E - \right. \\ \left. \mathbf{n}_E \cdot \{ \underline{\mathbf{A}}_2(w_h) D^2 w_h \}_E \, \mathbf{n}_E \, \mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\omega(\nabla w_h)^{-1/4} \nabla \xi_h]_E \right) ds \\ - \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h} \int_E \left(\mathbf{n}_E \cdot \{ \underline{\mathbf{A}}_2(v_h) D^2 \xi_h \}_E \, \mathbf{n}_E \, \mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\omega(\nabla v_h)^{-1/4} \nabla v_h]_E - \right. \\ \left. \mathbf{n}_E \cdot \{ \underline{\mathbf{A}}_2(w_h) D^2 \xi_h \}_E \, \mathbf{n}_E \, \mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\omega(\nabla w_h)^{-1/4} \nabla w_h]_E \right) ds \\ + \alpha \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h} h_E^{-1} \int_E \left(\mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\omega(\nabla v_h)^{-1/4} \nabla v_h]_E \, \mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\omega(\nabla v_h)^{-1/4} \nabla \xi_h]_E - \right. \\ \left. \mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\omega(\nabla w_h)^{-1/4} \nabla w_h]_E \, \mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\omega(\nabla w_h)^{-1/4} \nabla \xi_h]_E \right) ds.$$

As in the previous theorem, we will estimate the four terms on the right-hand side of (4.37) separately.

(i) For the first term we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_K \left(\underline{\mathbf{A}}_1(v_h) D^2 v_h - \underline{\mathbf{A}}_1(w_h) D^2 w_h \right) : D^2 \xi_h \, dx = \\ & \underbrace{\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_K \underline{\mathbf{A}}_1(v_h) D^2 \xi_h : D^2 \xi_h \, dx}_{= I_1} + \underbrace{\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_K \left(\underline{\mathbf{A}}_1(v_h) - \underline{\mathbf{A}}_1(w_h) \right) D^2 w_h : D^2 \xi_h \, dx}_{= I_2}. \end{aligned}$$

As far as I_1 is concerned, due to (3.5) and (3.6) we have

$$\int_K \underline{\mathbf{A}}_1(v_h) D^2 \xi_h : D^2 \xi_h \, dx \geq (1 + \|\nabla v_h\|_{0,\infty,K}^2)^{-3/2} \|D^2 \xi_h\|_{0,K}^2.$$

Using (3.8b),(3.8c), the inverse inequality (4.12), the Poincaré-Friedrichs inequality

for piecewise H^2 -functions (4.14), and observing $\|v_h\|_{2,h,\Omega} \leq R$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla v_h\|_{0,\infty,K}^2 & \leq c_S^{-2} C_{inv}^2 h_K^{-2} \|\nabla v_h\|_{0,K}^2 \leq c_Q^{-2} c_S^{-2} C_{inv}^2 h^{-2} \|\nabla v_h\|_{0,\Omega}^2 \leq \\ & c_Q^{-2} c_S^{-2} C_{inv}^2 C_{PF}^2 h^{-2} \|v_h\|_{2,h,\Omega}^2 \leq \gamma_M^{(0)} h^{-2}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma_M^{(0)} := c_Q^{-2} c_S^{-2} C_{inv}^2 C_{PF}^2 R^2$. Observing $h \leq 1$, it follows that

$$(1 + \|\nabla v_h\|_{0,\infty,K}^2)^{-3/2} \geq h^3 (h^2 + \gamma_M^{(0)})^{-3/2} \geq h^3 (1 + \gamma_M^{(0)})^{-3/2} = \gamma_M^{(1)} h^3,$$

where $\gamma_M^{(1)} := (1 + \gamma_M^{(0)})^{-3/2}$. Hence, we obtain the following lower bound for I_1 :

$$(4.38) \quad I_1 \geq \gamma_M^{(1)} h^3 \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \|D^2 \xi_h\|_{0,K}^2.$$

In order to estimate I_2 from above, we use (3.8b),(3.8c),(4.19b), Hölder's inequality,

the inverse inequality (4.12), the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, and observe $\|D^2 w_h\|_{0,K}$

$$\leq \|w_h\|_{2,h,\Omega} \leq R, K \in \mathcal{T}_h:$$

$$\begin{aligned} |I_2| &\leq (3 + \sqrt{5}) \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_K |\nabla \xi_h| |D^2 w_h| |D^2 \xi_h| \, dx \leq \\ &(3 + \sqrt{5}) \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \|\nabla \xi_h\|_{0,\infty,K} \left(\int_K |D^2 w_h|^2 \, dx \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_K |D^2 \xi_h|^2 \, dx \right)^{1/2} \leq \\ &(3 + \sqrt{5}) c_S^{-1} C_{inv} \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} h_K^{-1} \|\xi_h\|_{0,K} \|D^2 w_h\|_{0,K} \|D^2 \xi_h\|_{0,K} \leq \\ &(3 + \sqrt{5}) c_S^{-1} C_{inv}^2 R \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} h_K^{-1} \|\xi_h\|_{0,K} h_K^{-2} \|\xi_h\|_{0,K} \leq \\ &(3 + \sqrt{5}) c_Q^{-3} c_S^{-1} C_{inv}^2 R h^{-3} \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \|\xi_h\|_{0,K}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, it follows that

$$(4.39) \quad |I_2| \leq C_B^{(1)} h^{-3} \|\xi_h\|_{0,\Omega}^2,$$

where $C_B^{(1)} := (3 + \sqrt{5}) c_Q^{-3} c_S^{-1} C_{inv}^2 R$.

(ii) We now deal with the second term on the right-hand side of (4.37) which we rewrite as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h} \int_E \left(\mathbf{n}_E \cdot \{ \underline{\mathbf{A}}_2(v_h) D^2 v_h \}_E \, \mathbf{n}_E \, \mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\omega(\nabla v_h)^{-1/4} \nabla \xi_h]_E - \right. \\ &\quad \left. \mathbf{n}_E \cdot \{ \underline{\mathbf{A}}_2(w_h) D^2 w_h \}_E \, \mathbf{n}_E \, \mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\omega(\nabla w_h)^{-1/4} \nabla \xi_h]_E \right) \, ds = \\ &\underbrace{\sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h} \int_E \mathbf{n}_E \cdot \{ \underline{\mathbf{A}}_2(v_h) D^2 \xi_h \}_E \, \mathbf{n}_E \, \mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\omega(\nabla v_h)^{-1/4} \nabla \xi_h]_E \, ds}_{= II_1} + \\ &\underbrace{\sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h} \int_E \mathbf{n}_E \cdot \{ (\underline{\mathbf{A}}_2(v_h) - \underline{\mathbf{A}}_2(w_h)) D^2 w_h \}_E \, \mathbf{n}_E \, \mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\omega(\nabla v_h)^{-1/4} \nabla \xi_h]_E \, ds}_{= II_2} \\ &+ \underbrace{\sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h} \int_E \mathbf{n}_E \cdot \{ \underline{\mathbf{A}}_2(w_h) D^2 w_h \}_E \, \mathbf{n}_E \, \mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\tilde{\omega}(\nabla v_h, \nabla w_h) \nabla \xi_h]_E \, ds}_{= II_3}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\omega}(\nabla v_h, \nabla w_h) := \omega(\nabla v_h)^{-1/4} - \omega(\nabla w_h)^{-1/4}$. In view of (3.5), (3.8b), (3.12), (3.16), (4.15), Hölder's inequality, the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the inverse inequality (4.12), and the trace inequality (4.13b) we can estimate II_1 from above as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
|II_1| &\leq 8 \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h} \int_E \{|D^2 \xi_h|\}_E \{|\nabla \xi_h|\}_E \, ds \leq \\
&4 \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h} \left(\int_E \{|D^2 \xi_h|^2\}_E \, ds \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_E \{|\nabla \xi_h|^2\}_E \, ds \right)^{1/2} \leq \\
&4 \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \left(\int_{\partial K} |D^2 \xi_h|^2 \, ds \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\partial K} |\nabla \xi_h|^2 \, ds \right)^{1/2} \leq \\
&4c_Q^{-1} h^{-1} \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} h_K^{1/2} \|D^2 \xi_h\|_{0, \partial K} h_K^{1/2} \|\nabla \xi_h\|_{0, \partial K} \leq \\
&4c_Q^{-1} C_T^2 h^{-1} \left(\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \|D^2 \xi_h\|_{0, K}^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \|\nabla \xi_h\|_{0, K}^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \\
&4c_Q^{-4} C_{inv}^2 C_T^2 h^{-4} \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \|\xi_h\|_{0, K}^2 \leq \\
&4c_Q^{-4} C_{inv}^2 C_T^2 h^{-4} \|\xi_h\|_{0, \Omega}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain

$$(4.40) \quad |II_1| \leq C_B^{(2)} h^{-4} \|\xi_h\|_{0, \Omega}^2,$$

where $C_B^{(2)} := 4c_Q^{-4} C_{inv}^2 C_T^2$. Likewise, for II_2 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|II_2| &\leq 4 \left(\frac{5}{2} + \sqrt{5} \right) \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h} \int_E \{|\nabla \xi_h|^2\}_E \{|D^2 w_h|\}_E \, ds \leq \\
&2 \left(\frac{5}{2} + \sqrt{5} \right) \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h} \left(\int_E \{|\nabla \xi_h|^4\}_E \, ds \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_E \{|D^2 w_h|^2\}_E \, ds \right)^{1/2} \leq
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2\left(\frac{5}{2} + \sqrt{5}\right) \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \left(\int_{\partial K} |\nabla \xi_h|^4 ds \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\partial K} |D^2 w_h|^2 ds \right)^{1/2} = \\
& 2\left(\frac{5}{2} + \sqrt{5}\right) c_Q^{-1} h^{-1} \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} h_K^{1/2} \|\nabla \xi_h\|_{0,4,\partial K}^2 h_K^{1/2} \|D^2 w_h\|_{0,\partial K} \leq \\
& 2\left(\frac{5}{2} + \sqrt{5}\right) c_Q^{-1} C_T^2 h^{-1} \left(\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \|\nabla \xi_h\|_{0,4,K}^4 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \|D^2 w_h\|_{0,K}^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \\
& 2\left(\frac{5}{2} + \sqrt{5}\right) c_Q^{-1} c_S^{-1/2} C_{inv} C_T^2 R h^{-2} \left(\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \|\nabla \xi_h\|_{0,K}^4 \right)^{1/2} \leq \\
& 2\left(\frac{5}{2} + \sqrt{5}\right) c_Q^{-3} c_S^{-1/2} C_{inv}^3 C_T^2 R h^{-4} \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \|\xi_h\|_{0,K}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$(4.41) \quad |II_2| \leq C_B^{(3)} h^{-4} \|\xi_h\|_{0,\Omega}^2,$$

where $C_B^{(3)} := 2\left(\frac{5}{2} + \sqrt{5}\right) c_Q^{-3} c_S^{-1/2} C_{inv}^3 C_T^2 R$. Finally, II_3 can be bounded from above in much the same way as II_2 . We get

$$(4.42) \quad |II_3| \leq C_B^{(4)} h^{-4} \|\xi_h\|^2,$$

where $C_B^{(4)} := 2c_Q^{-3} c_S^{-1/2} C_{inv}^3 C_T^2 R$.

(iii) For the third term on the right-hand side of (4.37) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h} \int_E \left(\mathbf{n}_E \cdot \{ \underline{\underline{\mathbf{A}}}_2(v_h) D^2 \xi_h \}_E \mathbf{n}_E \mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\omega(\nabla v_h)^{-1/4} \nabla v_h]_E - \right. \\
& \left. \mathbf{n}_E \cdot \{ \underline{\underline{\mathbf{A}}}_2(w_h) D^2 \xi_h \}_E \mathbf{n}_E \mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\omega(\nabla w_h)^{-1/4} \nabla w_h]_E \right) ds =
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \underbrace{\sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h} \int_E \mathbf{n}_E \cdot \{(\underline{\mathbf{A}}_2(v_h) - \underline{\mathbf{A}}_2(w_h))D^2\xi_h\}_E \mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\omega(\nabla v_h)^{-1/4} \nabla v_h]_E ds}_{= III_1} + \\
& \underbrace{\sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h} \int_E \mathbf{n}_E \cdot \{\underline{\mathbf{A}}_2(w_h)D^2\xi_h\}_E \mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\tilde{\omega}(\nabla v_h, \nabla w_h) \nabla v_h]_E ds}_{= III_2} + \\
& \underbrace{\sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h} \int_E \mathbf{n}_E \cdot \{\underline{\mathbf{A}}_2(w_h)D^2\xi_h\}_E \mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\omega(\nabla v_h)^{-1/4} \nabla v_h]_E ds}_{= III_3}.
\end{aligned}$$

The three terms can be estimated from above in a similar way as the corresponding terms in II . We obtain

(4.43)

$$|III_1| \leq C_B^{(5)} h^{-4} \|\xi_h\|_{0,\Omega}^2, \quad |III_2| \leq C_B^{(6)} h^{-4} \|\xi_h\|_{0,\Omega}^2, \quad |III_3| \leq C_B^{(7)} h^{-4} \|\xi_h\|_{0,\Omega}^2,$$

where $C_B^{(5)} := 2(\frac{5}{2} + \sqrt{5})c_Q^{-3}c_S^{-1/2}C_{inv}^3C_T^2R$, $C_B^{(6)} := 2c_Q^{-3}c_S^{-1/2}C_{inv}^3C_T^2R$, and $C_B^{(7)} := C_B^{(6)}$.

(iv) For the fourth term on the right-hand side of (4.37) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.44) \quad & \alpha \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h} h_E^{-1} \int_E \left(\mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\omega(\nabla v_h)^{-1/4} \nabla v_h]_E \mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\omega(\nabla v_h)^{-1/4} \nabla \xi_h]_E - \right. \\
& \quad \left. \mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\omega(\nabla w_h)^{-1/4} \nabla w_h]_E \mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\omega(\nabla w_h)^{-1/4} \nabla \xi_h]_E \right) ds = \\
& \underbrace{\alpha \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h} h_E^{-1} \int_E \mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\omega(\nabla v_h)^{-1/4} \nabla \xi_h]_E \mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\omega(\nabla v_h)^{-1/4} \nabla \xi_h]_E ds}_{= IV_1} + \\
& \underbrace{\alpha \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h} h_E^{-1} \int_E \mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\omega(\nabla w_h)^{-1/4} \nabla w_h]_E \mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\tilde{\omega}(\nabla v_h, \nabla w_h) \nabla \xi_h]_E ds}_{= IV_2} + \\
& \underbrace{\alpha \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h} h_E^{-1} \int_E \mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\tilde{\omega}(\nabla v_h, \nabla w_h) \nabla w_h]_E \mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\omega(\nabla w_h)^{-1/4} \nabla \xi_h]_E ds}_{= IV_3}.
\end{aligned}$$

In view of (3.13), the first term IV_1 can be further split according to

$$\begin{aligned}
IV_1 &= \alpha \underbrace{\sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h} h_E^{-1} \int_E \mathbf{n}_E \cdot \{\omega(\nabla v_h)^{-1/4}\}_E [\nabla \xi_h]_E \mathbf{n}_E \cdot \{\omega(\nabla v_h)^{-1/4}\}_E [\nabla \xi_h]_E ds}_{= IV_{11}} + \\
&\alpha \underbrace{\sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h(\Omega)} h_E^{-1} \int_E \mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\omega(\nabla v_h)^{-1/4}]_E \{\nabla \xi_h\}_E \mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\omega(\nabla v_h)^{-1/4}]_E \{\nabla \xi_h\}_E ds}_{= IV_{12}} + \\
&\alpha \underbrace{\sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h(\Omega)} h_E^{-1} \int_E \mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\omega(\nabla v_h)^{-1/4}]_E \{\nabla \xi_h\}_E \mathbf{n}_E \cdot \{\omega(\nabla v_h)^{-1/4}\}_E [\nabla \xi_h]_E ds}_{= IV_{13}} + \\
&\alpha \underbrace{\sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h(\Omega)} h_E^{-1} \int_E \mathbf{n}_E \cdot \{\omega(\nabla v_h)^{-1/4}\}_E [\nabla \xi_h]_E \mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\omega(\nabla v_h)^{-1/4}]_E \{\nabla \xi_h\}_E ds}_{= IV_{14}}.
\end{aligned}$$

For IV_{11} , setting $E_1 := E_+$ and $E_2 := E_-$ for $E \in \mathcal{E}_h(\Omega)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
IV_{11} &\geq \alpha \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h(\Omega)} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\nabla v_h\|_{0,\infty,E_i}^2\right)^{-1/2} h_E^{-1} \int_E |\mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\nabla \xi_h]_E|^2 ds + \\
&\alpha \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h(\Gamma)} (1 + \|\nabla v_h\|_{0,\infty,E}^2)^{-1/2} h_E^{-1} \int_E |\mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\nabla \xi_h]_E|^2 ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Taking advantage of (3.8b),(3.8c), the inverse inequality (4.12), and the Poincaré-Friedrichs inequality for piecewise H^2 -functions (4.14), it follows that for $E \in \mathcal{E}_h(\partial K)$ it holds

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla v_h\|_{0,\infty,E} &\leq \|\nabla v_h\|_{0,\infty,K} \leq c_S^{-1/2} C_{inv} h_K^{-1} \|\nabla v_h\|_{0,K} \leq \\
c_Q^{-1} c_S^{-1/2} C_{inv} h^{-1} \|\nabla v_h\|_{0,\Omega} &\leq c_Q^{-1} c_S^{-1/2} C_{inv} C_{PF} h^{-1} \|v_h\|_{2,h,\Omega} \leq c_Q^{-1} c_S^{-1/2} C_{inv} C_{PF} h^{-1} R,
\end{aligned}$$

and hence, observing $h < 1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(1 + \|\nabla v_h\|_{0,\infty,E}^2)^{-1/2} &\geq (1 + c_Q^{-2} c_S^{-1} C_{inv}^2 C_{PF}^2 R^2 h^{-2})^{-1/2} = \\
(h^2 + c_Q^{-2} c_S^{-1} C_{inv}^2 C_{PF}^2 R^2)^{-1/2} h &\geq (1 + c_Q^{-2} c_S^{-1} C_{inv}^2 C_{PF}^2 R^2)^{-1/2} h.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we obtain

$$(4.45) \quad IV_{11} \geq \alpha \gamma_M^{(2)} h \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h} h_E^{-1} \int_E |\mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\nabla \xi_h]_E|^2 ds,$$

where $\gamma_M^{(2)} := \alpha(1 + c_Q^{-2} c_S^{-1} C_{inv}^2 C_{PF}^2 R^2)^{-1/2}$.

The remaining terms $IV_{1i}, 2 \leq i \leq 4$, can be estimated from above similarly as the corresponding terms in Theorem 4.2:

$$(4.46)$$

$$|IV_{12}| \leq C_B^{(8)} h^{-4} \|\xi_h\|_{0,\Omega}^2, \quad |IV_{13}| \leq C_B^{(9)} h^{-4} \|\xi_h\|_{0,\Omega}^2, \quad |IV_{14}| \leq C_B^{(10)} h^{-4} \|\xi_h\|_{0,\Omega}^2,$$

where $C_B^{(8)} := 2\alpha c_Q^{-4} c_R^{-1} C_{inv}^2 C_T^2$ and $C_B^{(9)} = C_B^{(10)} := 2C_B^{(8)}$. The remaining two terms IV_2 and IV_3 can be estimated from above in the same way. Using (3.8a), (3.8b), (4.19a), the inverse inequality (4.12), the trace inequality (4.13a), the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, and observing

$$\left(\sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h} h_E^{-1} \int_E |\mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\nabla w_h]_E|^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \leq \|w_h\|_{2,h,\Omega} \leq R,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |IV_2| &\leq 4\alpha c_Q^{-1/2} c_R^{-1/2} h^{-1/2} \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h} h_E^{-1/2} \int_E |\mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\nabla w_h]_E| \{|\nabla \xi_h|_E\}_E^2 ds \leq \\ &4\alpha c_Q^{-1/2} c_R^{-1/2} h^{-1/2} \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h} h_E^{-1/2} \left(\int_E |\mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\nabla w_h]_E|^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_E \{|\nabla \xi_h|_E\}_E^4 ds \right)^{1/2} \leq \\ &2\alpha c_Q^{-3/2} c_R^{-1/2} h^{-3/2} \left(\sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h} h_E^{-1} \int_E |\mathbf{n}_E \cdot [\nabla w_h]_E|^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} h_K^2 \|\nabla \xi_h\|_{0,4,\partial K}^4 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq 2\alpha c_Q^{-3/2} c_R^{-1/2} C_T R h^{-3/2} \left(\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \|\nabla \xi_h\|_{0,4,K}^4 \right)^{1/2} \leq \\ &2\alpha c_Q^{-7/2} c_R^{-1/2} C_{inv}^2 C_T R h^{-7/2} \left(\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \|\xi_h\|_{0,K}^4 \right)^{1/2} \leq \\ &2\alpha c_Q^{-7/2} c_R^{-1/2} C_{inv}^2 C_T R h^{-7/2} \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \|\xi_h\|_{0,K}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, it follows that

$$(4.47) \quad |IV_2| \leq C_B^{(11)} h^{-7/2} \|\xi_h\|_{0,\Omega}^2,$$

where $C_B^{(11)} := 2\alpha c_Q^{-7/2} c_R^{-1/2} C_{inv}^2 C_T R$. Moreover, we get

$$(4.48) \quad |IV_3| \leq C_B^{(12)} h^{-7/2} \|\xi_h\|_{0,\Omega}^2,$$

where $C_B^{(12)} := C_B^{(11)}$.

Setting $C_B := \sum_{i=1}^{12} C_B^{(i)}$ and observing (4.34) as well as $h < 1$, it follows from (4.36)-(4.48) that

$$(4.49) \quad \langle A_H^{DG} v_h - A_h^{DG} w_h, v_h - w_h \rangle_{V_h^*, V_h} \geq (1 - C_\Delta C_B h^\kappa) \|\xi_h\|_{0,\Omega}^2 + \min(\gamma_M^{(1)}, \alpha \gamma_M^{(2)}) h^3 \|\xi_h\|_{2,h,\Omega}^2.$$

We choose $h_{min} > 0$ such that

$$(4.50) \quad q := C_\Delta C_B h_{min}^\kappa < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \min(\gamma_M^{(1)}, \alpha \gamma_M^{(2)}) h_{min}^3 < 1 - q.$$

Then, for $h \leq h_{min}$ (4.35) follows from (4.49), (4.50) with

$$(4.51) \quad \gamma(h, R) := \min(\gamma_M^{(1)}, \alpha \gamma_M^{(2)}) h^3.$$

□

Corollary 4.1. *Assume that u_h^{m-1} satisfies*

$$\|u_h^{m-1}\|_{0,\Omega} \leq \frac{\Gamma(R)^2}{\gamma(R)} \left(1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{\gamma(R)^2}{\Gamma(R)^2}}\right) R$$

for some $R > 0$ and that (4.34) holds true. Then, for sufficiently small grid size h , the C^0 IPDG approximation (3.14) has a unique solution $u_h^m \in B_h(0, R)$.

Proof. Using the Lipschitz continuity (4.22) and the strong monotonicity (4.35) of the nonlinear operator A_h^{DG} , the result follows from the nonlinear analogue of the Lax-Milgram Lemma (Theorem 4.1). \square

Remark 4.1. *If we choose $h_{min} > 0$ such that (4.49) is satisfied as well as $h_{min} < \beta C_\Delta C_A$, for $h \leq h_{min}$ we have $\Gamma(h, R) = \beta C_\Delta C_A h^{-1}$ in Theorem 4.2 and the application of Theorem 4.1 for $V = V_h$ and $A = A_h^{DG}$ implies that the fixed point operator T is a contraction as long as*

$$(4.52) \quad \rho < 2 \frac{\gamma(h, R)}{\Gamma(h, R)^2} = 2 \frac{\min(\gamma_M^{(1)}, \alpha \gamma_M^{(2)})}{C_\Delta^2 C_A^2} h^5.$$

In other words, the contraction property degenerates for $h \rightarrow 0$. This reflects the very singular character of the fourth order total variation flow.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Arnold, F. Brezzi, B. Cockburn, and D. Marini; *Unified analysis of discontinuous Galerkin methods for elliptic problems*. SIAM J. Numer. Anal. **39**, 1749–1779, 2002.
- [2] C. Bhandari, R.H.W. Hoppe, and R. Kumar; *A C^0 Interior Penalty Discontinuous Galerkin Method for Fourth Order Total Variation Flow. I: Derivation of the method and numerical results*. submitted to Numerical Methods for Partial Differential Equations, 2018.
- [3] S.C. Brenner and L. Ridgway Scott; *The Mathematical Theory of Finite Element Methods. 3rd Edition*. Springer, New York, 2008
- [4] S.C. Brenner, K. Wang, and J. Zhao; *Poincaré-Friedrichs inequalities for piecewise H^2 functions*. Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim. **25**, 463–478, 2004.
- [5] P.G. Ciarlet; *The Finite Element Method for Elliptic Problems*. SIAM, Philadelphia, 2002.
- [6] Y. Kashima; *A subdifferential formulation of fourth order singular diffusion equations*. Adv. Math. Sci. Appl. **14**, 49–74, 2004.

- [7] R.V. Kohn and H.M. Versieux; *Numerical analysis of a steepest-descent PDE model for surface relaxation below the roughening temperature*. SIAM J. Numer. Anal. **48**, 1781–1800, 2010.
- [8] C. Schwab; *P- and hp-Finite Element Methods*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1998.
- [9] L. Tartar; *Introduction to Sobolev Spaces and Interpolation Theory*. Springer, Berlin–Heidelberg–New York, 2007.
- [10] T. Warburton and J.S. Hesthaven; *On the constants in hp-finite element trace inverse inequalities*. Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg. **192**, 2765–2773, 2003.